

Clinical Implications of Obesity With Specific Focus on Cardiovascular Disease

A Statement for Professionals From the American Heart Association Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism

Endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

Samuel Klein, MD; Lora E. Burke, RN, MPH, PhD; George A. Bray, MD; Steven Blair, PED; David B. Allison, PhD; Xavier Pi-Sunyer, MD; Yuling Hong, MD, PhD; Robert H. Eckel, MD

Abstract—Obesity adversely affects cardiac function, increases the risk factors for coronary heart disease, and is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease. The risk of developing coronary heart disease is directly related to the concomitant burden of obesity-related risk factors. Modest weight loss can improve diastolic function and affect the entire cluster of coronary heart disease risk factors simultaneously. This statement from the American Heart Association Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism reviews the relationship between obesity and the cardiovascular system, evaluates the effect of weight loss on coronary heart disease risk factors and coronary heart disease, and provides practical weight management treatment guidelines for cardiovascular healthcare professionals. The data demonstrate that weight loss and physical activity can prevent and treat obesity-related coronary heart disease risk factors and should be considered a primary therapy for obese patients with cardiovascular disease. (*Circulation*. 2004; 110:2952-2967.)

Key Words: AHA Scientific Statements ■ obesity ■ cardiovascular diseases ■ exercise ■ diet

Obesity is an important risk factor for coronary heart disease (CHD), ventricular dysfunction, congestive heart failure, stroke, and cardiac arrhythmias. Weight loss in obese patients can improve or prevent many of the obesity-related risk factors for CHD (ie, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and inflammation)^{1,2} and can improve diastolic function.³ Therefore, it is important for cardiovascular healthcare professionals to understand the clinical effects of weight loss and be able to implement appropriate weight-management strategies in obese patients. The purpose of this statement is to review the physiological and cardiovascular effects of weight loss and provide clinicians with appropriate treatment guidelines for weight management in patients with obesity and cardiovascular disease.

Clinical Effects of Weight Loss

Body Composition

The increase in body fat mass in most obese persons represents primarily an increase in the size of fat cells,

although the number of fat cells may also be increased, particularly in people with childhood-onset obesity.⁴ In addition, the specific distribution of excess fat can influence the relationship between obesity and cardiac disease. Excess abdominal adipose tissue, particularly visceral fat, and excess triglyceride content in liver, skeletal muscle, and heart tissues are associated with hepatic and skeletal muscle insulin resistance, impaired ventricular function, and increased CHD.⁵⁻⁹

Although an energy deficit of ≈ 3500 kcal is needed to oxidize 1 lb of adipose tissue, a 3500-kcal energy deficit will cause a >1 -lb loss in body weight because of the oxidation of lean tissue and associated water losses. Approximately 75% of weight lost by dieting is composed of fat and 25% is fat-free mass (FFM).¹⁰ The addition of exercise training to a diet program can decrease the percentage of weight lost as FFM by half.^{10,11} Most, if not all, of the loss of fat results from a decrease in the size (triglyceride content) of existing fat cells,¹² not a decrease in the number of fat cells.¹³ The distribution of fat loss is heterogeneous, with greater relative

The American Heart Association makes every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

This statement was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on August 18, 2004. A single reprint is available by calling 800-242-8721 (US only) or writing the American Heart Association, Public Information, 7272 Greenville Ave, Dallas, TX 75231-4596. Ask for reprint No. 71-0303. To purchase additional reprints: up to 999 copies, call 800-611-6083 (US only) or fax 413-665-2671; 1000 or more copies, call 410-528-4121, fax 410-528-4264, or e-mail kgray@lww.com. To make photocopies for personal or educational use, call the Copyright Clearance Center, 978-750-8400.

© 2004 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circulation is available at <http://www.circulationaha.org>

DOI: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000145546.97738.1E

losses of intraabdominal fat than total body fat mass, particularly in men and women with increased initial intraabdominal fat mass.¹⁴ In addition, diet-induced weight loss decreases intramyocellular¹⁵ and intrahepatic¹⁶ lipids.

Clinical Outcomes

Intentional weight loss can improve or prevent many of the obesity-related risk factors for CHD (ie, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and inflammation). Moreover, these metabolic benefits are often found after only modest weight loss ($\approx 5\%$ of initial weight) and continue to improve in a monotonic fashion with increasing weight loss.¹⁷

Metabolic Syndrome

The metabolic syndrome represents a constellation of physical and metabolic abnormalities that are risk factors for cardiovascular disease. The characteristics of this syndrome, as defined by the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III [ATP III]), include large waist circumference, insulin-resistant glucose metabolism (impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, and type 2 diabetes mellitus), dyslipidemia (high triglyceride and low serum HDL-C [cholesterol] concentrations), and increased blood pressure.¹⁸ Patients who have the metabolic syndrome have a 1.5- to 3-fold increase in the risk of CHD and stroke.^{19–21} Weight loss can improve all features of the metabolic syndrome.¹⁷

Insulin Resistance and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Insulin sensitivity, with regard to glucose metabolism, improves rapidly after beginning an energy-deficit diet before much weight loss occurs and continues to improve with continued weight loss.²² In patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus, a 5% weight loss at the end of 1 year of dietary therapy can decrease fasting blood glucose, insulin, hemoglobin A_{1c} concentrations, and the dose of oral hypoglycemic therapy,²³ whereas an average weight loss of $\approx 30\%$ in extremely obese patients with diabetes after gastric bypass surgery resulted in normalization of blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin concentrations in 83% of patients.²⁴

Weight loss can also prevent the development of new diabetes in high-risk persons who are overweight or obese.^{25–28} Lifestyle dietary and activity modifications, which resulted in modest ($\approx 5\%$) weight loss, decreased the 4- to 6-year cumulative incidence of diabetes by $>50\%$ in men and women who were overweight or obese and had impaired glucose tolerance.^{25,26} The Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) Study demonstrated that greater weight losses ($\approx 16\%$ of body weight) induced by gastric surgery in patients who are extremely obese (initial body mass index [BMI; weight in kilograms divided by height in square meters] of 41 kg/m²) were associated with a 5-fold decrease in the cumulative incidence of diabetes for 8 years.²⁷

Dyslipidemia

Weight loss decreases serum LDL-C and triglyceride concentrations, whereas increases in serum HDL-C typically are seen when weight loss is sustained.^{1,29,30} The greatest relative

improvements in serum triglyceride and LDL-C usually occur within the first 2 months of weight loss.³¹ The beneficial effects on serum lipids are related to the percentage of weight lost, and regaining the lost weight leads to a relapse in serum concentrations. A sustained weight loss of $\geq 5\%$ is needed to maintain a decrease in serum triglyceride concentrations, whereas serum total and LDL-C revert toward baseline if a $\geq 10\%$ diet-induced weight loss is not maintained.^{31,32} In contrast, data from the SOS study showed that an average weight loss of 33% at 2 years after bariatric surgery decreased serum triglyceride concentrations and increased serum HDL-C concentrations, but it did not affect serum total cholesterol.²

Hypertension

Weight loss decreases both systolic and diastolic blood pressure in a dose-dependent fashion; therefore, greater weight loss is generally associated with greater improvement in blood pressure.^{33,34} Weight regain results in a steady increase in blood pressure toward baseline. The results of retrospective analyses of large surgical group experiences showed that marked weight loss induced by gastric surgery improved or completely resolved hypertension in $\approx 67\%$ of patients.^{35,36} In contrast, data from the SOS study revealed that on average blood pressure began to progressively increase 2 years after surgery.²⁷ Most subjects enrolled in the SOS study underwent vertical banded gastroplasty or gastric banding procedures and lost less weight than those who underwent gastric bypass. Subjects who had gastric bypass surgery maintained a decrease in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure for 5 years after surgery.³⁷

Diet-induced weight loss can prevent the development of hypertension in persons who are obese. The results from large epidemiological studies and intervention trials suggest that the risk of developing hypertension in normotensive women is inversely correlated with changes in body weight.^{33,38} Data from the SOS study showed, however, that the beneficial effect of gastric surgery-induced weight loss in preventing new cases of hypertension disappeared 3 years after surgery, despite persistent weight loss.²⁷

Pulmonary Disease

Obesity is associated with altered pulmonary function. A marked excess in abdominal fat mass can mechanically interfere with lung function because of the increased weight on the chest wall and thoracic cage. In addition, obesity is associated with serious pulmonary diseases, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), and obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS).

OSA is characterized by multiple episodes of apnea and hypopnea during sleep caused by partial or complete upper airway obstruction. The interruption in nighttime sleep and hypoxemia causes daytime sleepiness and cardiopulmonary dysfunction. Episodes of oxygen desaturation during apnea and hypopnea cause transient increases in pulmonary artery and pulmonary wedge pressures, and myocardial perfusion defects.³⁹ Over time, electrocardiographic abnormalities and cardiac rhythm alterations, permanent pulmonary hypertension, right ventricle hypertrophy, and bilateral leg edema can develop.^{40–42}

OHS is caused by a decreased ventilatory response to hypercapnia, hypoxia, or hypercapnia and hypoxia and inadequate respiratory muscle strength to meet the increased ventilatory demand caused by the mechanical effects of obesity. Patients with OHS have shallow and inefficient breathing, and a $p\text{CO}_2 > 50$ mm Hg. Patients may become more symptomatic when lying down because abdominal pressure pushes up the diaphragm, which increases intrathoracic pressure and reduces respiratory capacity. Pickwickian syndrome is a severe form of OHS and is associated with extreme obesity, irregular breathing, cyanosis, somnolence, and right ventricular dysfunction.

Inflammation

Obesity is associated with an increase in circulating inflammatory markers, including C-reactive protein (CRP)^{43–45} and cytokines (ie, interleukin-6 [IL-6], IL-18, and P-selectin).^{46–49} Adipose tissue itself is a likely source of these excess cytokines,^{46,50} and IL-6 stimulates the production of CRP by the liver.⁵¹ The increase in inflammatory markers is associated with insulin resistance^{52–56} and is an important predictor of atherosclerotic events.^{57–61}

Data from studies that have ranged in duration from 3 months to 2 years have revealed that weight reduction decreases plasma CRP concentration.^{49,52,62–67} The decrease in CRP is directly related to the amount of weight loss, fat mass, and change in waist circumference. In one study, only subjects who were insulin resistant experienced a weight loss–induced decrease in CRP, an effect that paralleled changes in insulin sensitivity.⁵² Plasma CRP concentrations did not decrease and insulin sensitivity did not increase in subjects who were insulin sensitive before weight reduction. Decreases in plasma IL-6,^{48,49,65,67–69} IL-18,^{49,67} P-selectin,⁴⁸ and tumor necrosis factor- α ⁴⁸ concentrations have also been reported^{66,68,69} after weight loss in subjects who are obese.

Autonomic Nervous System Dysfunction

Overweight and obesity are associated with cardiac autonomic neuropathy. For example, a 10% increase in body weight is associated with a decline in parasympathetic tone and an increase in heart rate.⁷⁰ Alterations in autonomic nervous system function might be an important cause of cardiovascular disease events and mortality, as suggested by the relationship between heart rate and cardiovascular disease mortality.^{71,72} Marked weight loss induced by bariatric surgery increases vagal activity.⁷³ In addition, weight loss achieved by dieting also increases cardiac parasympathetic activity,^{74–77} but this increase is not maintained in the absence of sustained weight loss.⁷⁷

Cardiovascular Disease

Although weight loss modifies many cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors, it is not known whether weight reduction decreases CVD events or CVD mortality in obese persons.^{78–80} This important question has not yet been answered because it is difficult to achieve prolonged periods of sustained weight reduction (eg, > 5 years) with nonsurgical therapy⁸¹ and to perform prospective randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving bariatric surgery. Data from the SOS study showed that despite a greater reduction in weight and

CVD risk factors after surgical than medical therapy for obesity, no difference in cardiovascular disease events or mortality was found at 10 years.⁸²

Data from large population studies have revealed that obesity is associated with increased CVD mortality.^{83–87} Moreover, CVD death rates are directly related to BMI in both men and women. The risk of CVD mortality in obese persons who have a $\text{BMI} \geq 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ was 2 to 3 times the risk among lean persons ($\text{BMI} 18.5$ to 24.9 kg/m^2),⁸⁸ and a 30% higher CHD mortality rate occurs for every 5-unit increment of BMI.⁸⁹ In addition, overweight in adolescence is associated with a 130% increased risk of CHD mortality in adulthood.⁹⁰

In general, data from large epidemiological studies have shown that weight variability is associated with an increased rate of CVD mortality.⁹¹ The interpretation of the results from these studies is complicated because many studies assessed weight variability rather than weight loss, included large numbers of lean and mildly overweight subjects, and included subjects who experienced “unintentional” weight loss, which may have been caused by diseases that influence mortality. Therefore, the available data are not adequate to reliably determine whether intentional weight loss affects CVD mortality, and carefully designed RCTs are needed to address this issue.

Cardiovascular Structure and Function

Obesity, particularly severe obesity, is associated with abnormalities in cardiac structure and function.^{8,92} The severity of these defects is associated with both the degree and duration of obesity.⁹³ Obesity is associated with an increase in total blood volume and cardiac output and a decrease in peripheral vascular resistance.^{8,94} In this setting, ventricular filling pressures are elevated,⁹⁵ which eventually results in increased wall stress, diastolic dysfunction, and left ventricular hypertrophy.^{93,96,98} Abnormalities of the right heart can also occur and may represent a combination of left heart disease, recurrent pulmonary thromboemboli, and OSA or hypoventilation or both.⁹⁹ Finally, lipomatous deposition in the interatrial septum has also been described¹⁰⁰; however, this anatomic alteration is unlikely to contribute to cardiac dysfunction.

Weight loss, particularly in persons who are severely obese, can improve cardiac structure and function.^{3,101} Improvements in fractional shortening are associated with decreases in hypertension and left ventricular internal dimension with reduced atrial and left ventricular free and septal wall thickness. Moreover, improvements in left ventricular diastolic filling and ejection fraction also occur.¹⁰² Improvements in left ventricular mass occur in both normotensive and hypertensive patients and are independent of the reduction in blood pressure.^{103,104} In addition, adding exercise to a low-calorie diet (LCD) may produce greater benefits in cardiac structure^{105,106}; however, these benefits are not consistent across all studies.^{107,108} For example, substantial weight loss ($\approx 15\%$ of baseline)¹⁰⁸ and modest weight loss plus physical training¹⁰⁹ did not have beneficial cardiac effects in obese adolescents. At present, the potential benefits of weight loss

on cardiac function are not completely clear and require further study.

Clinical Efficacy of Obesity Therapies

The goals of obesity therapy include decreasing body fat to improve appearance, physical function, quality of life, and medical health. Although surgical removal of large amounts of subcutaneous adipose tissue ($\leq 20\%$ of total body fat mass) can improve a person's appearance, ability to ambulate, and quality of life, it does not improve the metabolic CHD risk factors associated with obesity¹¹⁰; it seems that fat loss induced by negative energy balance is necessary to achieve metabolic benefits. Current therapies available for weight management that cause weight loss by inducing a negative energy balance include dietary intervention, physical activity, pharmacotherapy, and surgery. Behavior modification to enhance dietary and activity compliance is an important component of all of these treatments.

Dietary Intervention

Many different diets have been proposed for the treatment of obesity. These dietary approaches vary in their total energy prescription, macronutrient (fat, carbohydrate, and protein) content, energy density, glycemic index, and portion control. The energy content of a diet is the primary determinant of weight loss. Very-low-calorie diets (VLCDs) provide <800 kcal/d, LCDs usually contain 800 to 1500 kcal/d, and a balanced-deficit diet usually provides ≥ 1500 kcal/d. An LCD usually causes an $\approx 8\%$ loss of body weight at ≈ 6 months of treatment. The results from clinical trials may not reflect the experience in clinical practice because these trials involved subjects who volunteered for a weight loss study and often included formal behavior modification as part of the study protocol. The use of a VLCD usually produces a weight loss of $\approx 15\%$ to 20% within 4 months^{111–113}; however, VLCDs are associated with poorer weight loss maintenance and a greater weight regain than are LCDs, so weight loss at 1 year after treatment with a VLCD does not differ from treatment with an LCD.¹¹³ In addition, treatment with a VLCD may be particularly problematic for patients with CHD because of the risk of diet-induced hypokalemia, dehydration, and gallstones.

The macronutrient composition of a diet does not affect the rate of weight loss unless macronutrient manipulation influences total energy intake or expenditure. The Expert Panel on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults convened by the National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute recommended a 500- to 1000-kcal/d deficit diet for obese persons, which will initially result in a weekly weight loss of 1 to 2 lb (0.45 to 0.9 kg). It is often difficult, however, to accurately determine a patient's daily energy requirements. Therefore, calorie-intake guidelines for a weight-loss diet have been suggested based on a patient's initial body weight (Table 1).¹¹⁴ The calorie content of any prescribed diet must be adjusted regularly, based on the patient's weight-loss response and treatment goals.

A low-fat diet is considered the standard approach for the treatment of obesity.¹ Data from diet intervention studies

TABLE 1. Suggested Energy and Macronutrient Composition of Initial Reduced-Calorie Diet

Body Weight, lb	Suggested Energy Intake, kcal/d
150–199	1000
200–249	1200
250–299	1500
300–349	1800
≥ 350	2000

support the notion that decreasing fat intake, even while allowing ad libitum intake of carbohydrates and proteins, causes a spontaneous decrease in total energy intake and weight loss.¹¹⁵ In addition, a survey of obese persons who were successful at maintaining long-term weight loss found that they consumed $<25\%$ of calories from fats.¹¹⁶ However, a recent systematic review of randomized controlled studies that were specifically conducted to evaluate dietary therapy for obesity found that weight loss induced by low-fat diets and other weight-reducing diets were similar.¹¹⁷ The composite of these data suggests that low-fat diets can enhance weight loss and may be particularly useful in selected persons, but they are not necessarily more effective than LCDs.

The use of low-carbohydrate diets has become increasingly popular. Several RCTs compared the effect of low-carbohydrate, high-protein, high-fat diets (eg, the Atkins diet) with a conventional low-fat diet ($\approx 30\%$ energy from fats) in adults^{118–123} or a very-low-fat diet ($\approx 12\%$ energy from fats) in adolescents.¹²⁴ In all studies, weight loss at 3 and 6 months in subjects randomized to the low-carbohydrate diet was ≈ 2 times as great (≈ 4 - to 5 -kg greater weight loss) as those randomized to the low-fat group. In 2 studies that observed patients for 1 year, weight loss at 1 year was not significantly different between groups, however.^{121,122} In general, these studies also found the low-carbohydrate diet was more beneficial in serum triglyceride and HDL-C concentrations as compared with the low-fat diet, but the low-fat diet was more beneficial in serum LDL-C concentration. Although these changes in triglycerides and HDL-C after weight reduction on low-carbohydrate diets appear favorable, it is not known whether these alterations are associated with long-term beneficial effects on CHD.¹²⁵

The type of carbohydrate consumed also may be involved in regulating energy intake, and a low glycemic index diet has been proposed as a treatment for obesity. The glycemic index refers to the increase in blood glucose that occurs after consuming a fixed amount (usually 50 g) of available carbohydrate from a test food relative to the increase in blood glucose that occurs after consuming the same amount of available carbohydrate from either glucose or white bread.^{126,127} Most refined grain products and potatoes have a high glycemic index, whereas most fruits, legumes, and nonstarchy vegetables have a low glycemic index. The glycemic response to a specific food that is ingested as part of a meal can be altered by many factors, such as the method of preparation and the effect of concomitantly ingested foods on

intestinal motility. Data from a small ($n=14$) randomized controlled 1-year trial conducted in overweight adolescents revealed that a reduced glycemic index diet resulted in a greater decrease in body weight and BMI than did a reduced-fat diet.¹²⁸ The writing group is unaware of any RCTs evaluating the effect of a low glycemic index diet on body weight in adults.

The use of low-energy-density foods may be another effective approach for treating obesity. The energy density of a diet is defined as the calories present in a given weight of food. A food's energy density is directly correlated with its fat content and inversely correlated with its water content. Energy intake during a meal is partially regulated by the weight of ingested food and is inversely correlated with energy density.¹²⁹ Moreover, the results of a 6-month RCT demonstrated that providing subjects with ad libitum low-fat and low-energy-density foods causes modest (1% to 2%) weight loss.¹³⁰

Portion control is an important aspect of reducing energy intake. During ad libitum feeding, a direct relationship is found between portion size served and intake; therefore, increasing the size of the portion served increases the amount of food consumed.¹³¹

Providing prepackaged prepared meals, either as frozen entrees of mixed foods or liquid-formula meal replacements improves portion control and can enhance weight loss. Data from RCTs have shown that obese persons who were given prepackaged prepared meals or liquid-formula meal replacements lost several kilograms more weight than did those who were randomized to a standard diet.^{132–134} Educating patients about food labels, recipe modification, restaurant ordering, social eating, and healthy cooking methods are also important to help patients understand portion size and energy intake during meals and snacks.

In summary, the data from RCTs demonstrate that different dietary interventions can cause short-term weight loss. At the present time, we suggest that patients who are overweight or obese and trying to lose weight consume a diet that induces an energy deficit of 500 to 1000 kcal/d and has a macronutrient composition that is known to reduce the risk of CVD. This diet involves (1) consuming a variety of fruits, vegetables, grains, low-fat or nonfat dairy products, fish, legumes, poultry, and lean meats; (2) limiting intake of foods that are high in saturated fat, *trans*-fatty acids, and cholesterol; and (3) following the current dietary guidelines of the American Heart Association¹³⁵ and the NCEP ATP III¹⁸ (Table 2). These recommendations may require modification, based on the results of ongoing and future dietary therapy studies. The key to successful weight management is to provide patients with a dietary regimen that results in long-term compliance. The available data suggest that it is unlikely that one approach is appropriate for all patients.

Physical Activity

Regular physical activity has important health benefits. A consensus public health recommendation for physical activity developed in the mid-1990s proposed that sedentary adults should accumulate ≥ 30 minutes of at least moderate-intensity physical activity (eg, brisk walking) on most but

TABLE 2. Suggested Dietary Nutrient Composition for Patients Who Are Overweight or Obese

Nutrient	Recommended Intake
Saturated fat ^{1,2}	<7% of total calories
Monounsaturated fat	$\leq 20\%$ of total calories
Polyunsaturated fat	$\leq 10\%$ of total calories
Total fat	25% to 35% or less of total calories
Carbohydrate ³	50% to 60% or more of total calories (complex carbohydrates from a variety of vegetables, fruits, whole grains)
Fiber	20–30 g/d
Protein	$\approx 15\%$ of total calories
Cholesterol	<200 mg/d

preferably all days of the week.^{136–138} The health benefits of 30 minutes of daily moderate-intensity physical activity apply to all persons. Data from several studies show that persons who are overweight or obese and physically active (ie, participate in ≥ 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity most days of the week) or who have moderate to high levels of cardiorespiratory fitness (ie, in the upper four fifths of the age and sex fitness distributions) have much lower death rates from cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality than people who are sedentary and unfit.^{87,139–143} Therefore, regular physical activity may improve survival in persons who are overweight or obese, independent of weight loss.

Weight loss results from a negative energy balance, which can be achieved by decreasing energy intake, increasing energy expenditure, or both. It is usually much easier to induce a daily energy deficit by restricting energy intake than by increasing energy expenditure. The calories consumed during physical activity can be estimated as a function of a metabolic equivalent task (MET) score. One MET is the energy consumed during resting conditions, such as television viewing, and is equal to ≈ 1 kcal/kg of body weight per hour. Other activities such as carrying packages, doing housework or gardening (2 to 5 METs), walking at a pace of 3 to 4 mph (3 to 4 METs), and jogging (8 to 10 METs) consume greater amounts of energy. A person weighing 90 kg would need to walk briskly for 4 to 5 h/d to increase his or her energy expenditure above resting metabolic rate by an amount that is equivalent to reducing energy intake by 750 to 1000 kcal/d. Therefore, it is difficult to lose a substantial amount of weight through physical activity. A review of 19 studies with randomized designs showed that exercise plus diet caused a 0.1-kg/wk greater weight loss than did diet alone.¹⁴⁴ Weight loss induced by combining physical activity with diet decreases the loss of FFM that occurs when weight loss is induced by diet alone.¹⁴⁵

Data from observational studies strongly support the notion that physical activity is critical for preventing weight regain.^{145,146} Moreover, the available evidence suggests that a high volume of physical activity, 80 to 90 minutes of moderate-intensity activity such as walking or 35 minutes of vigorous activity such as jogging, is necessary to maintain weight loss.¹⁴⁵ The interpretation of the results from these

studies is complicated because subjects who achieved successful long-term weight loss had chosen to be physically active and had not been randomized a priori to a high-volume physical activity program. Data from a recent prospective RCT revealed that high-volume physical activity did not completely prevent weight regain.¹⁴⁷ Nonetheless, weight regain after 6 months was smaller and total weight loss was greater at 12 and 18 months in obese subjects who were randomized to dietary and behavior therapy plus high-volume physical activity (2500 kcal of energy expenditure per week) than they were in persons randomized to dietary and behavior therapy plus conventional physical activity (1000 kcal of energy expenditure per week). Although it is in general difficult to achieve long-term adherence to an exercise program, several approaches have been used to enhance adoption and maintenance of physical activity. Behavior-intervention strategies originally developed for smoking cessation or dietary programs have been used to increase physical activity. One study showed comparable improvements over 24 months in activity, fitness, and CHD risk factors for participants who were randomly assigned to a traditionally structured gymnasium-based program or to a behaviorally based intervention.¹⁴⁸ Increased contact by mail or telephone also helps maintain long-term adherence to exercise.¹⁴⁹ Total exercise time during the course of a study is greater when daily exercise is divided into multiple short bouts (eg, 10-minute bouts 3 to 4 times per day) than one long bout (eg, 30- to 40-minute bout once per day)¹⁵⁰; ie, multiple short bouts of exercise result in greater adherence to an exercise program. In addition, many patients may be more compliant with an exercise program conducted at home than at a health club because fewer barriers are found with home-based exercise, including costs and travel time. Developing a home-based walking program and using home exercise equipment such as a treadmill has been shown to improve exercise adherence and long-term weight loss.^{151,152} Finally, exercise does not need to be a structured activity. Altering daily lifestyle activities (eg, walking instead of riding, using stairs instead of escalators/elevators) may make it easier to increase overall physical activity than would participation in programmed exercise. In one study, weight loss was similar after dietary therapy plus either lifestyle activity or programmed exercise, but a trend toward better maintenance of weight loss 1 year after treatment was observed in individuals randomized to lifestyle activity than to programmed exercise.¹⁵³ Although these strategies are a welcome improvement, all studies still report a decline in exercise adherence over time.^{148,149,151,154}

In summary, physical activity is not an effective approach for achieving initial weight loss, but it does have beneficial effects on fitness and obesity-related complications such as CHD and diabetes. In addition, a high level of regular physical activity is important for preventing and attenuating weight regain after diet-induced weight loss. Most data suggest that it is the total volume of physical activity that is important to weight management and that it does not matter whether the activity is of moderate or vigorous intensity, a lifestyle or structured program, or taken in a single bout each day or in several intermittent bouts.

TABLE 3. Behavioral Strategies to Improve Weight Management

Strategy	Description
Self-monitoring	Record "what, where, and when" of eating and physical activity to increase patients' awareness of their own behavior.
Goal setting	Set specific short-term targets in eating and exercise habits to achieve incremental improvements.
Stimulus control	Identify triggers associated with poor eating and physical activity behaviors, and design strategies to break link.
Cognitive restructuring	Change perceptions, thoughts, or beliefs undermining weight control efforts, and help patients develop realistic expectations about weight loss.
Problem solving	Analyze situations preventing maintenance of a healthier lifestyle and identify possible solutions to problems; maintain philosophy that planning, not willpower, is key to weight management.
Relapse prevention	Develop skills based on premise that lapses in weight control behavior can be anticipated in certain situations (eg, travel, celebrations, bad mood).
Stress management	Decrease psychological stress to prevent dysfunctional eating.
Contingency management	Use rewards (tangible or verbal) to increase performance of specific behaviors or when specified goals reached.
Social support	Use assistance from family members and friends in modifying lifestyle behaviors.
Ongoing contact	Maintain visits, telephone calls, or Internet communication with physician and office staff or other healthcare professionals to promote adherence with recommended lifestyle changes.

Behavior Modification

Behavior therapy focuses on analyzing and modifying eating and activity behaviors that increase body weight and provides techniques to help patients change their lifestyle habits and overcome barriers to compliance. A summary of behavioral strategies for treating obesity is shown in Table 3. The most important principles of behavioral treatment are that it (1) is goal-oriented and specifies goals that can be easily attained and measured, (2) is process-oriented and helps patients develop realistic goals and a reasonable plan for reaching those goals, and (3) involves making small rather than large changes so that incremental steps are taken to achieve larger and more distant goals.^{155,156}

Self-monitoring, the systematic observation and recording of target behaviors, is the cornerstone of behavioral treatment.¹⁵⁶ Self-monitoring tools include (1) food diaries in which to record food intake, including types, amounts, energy contents, and times, places, and feelings associated with eating (usually in paper-and-pencil format but also available on the Internet or in commercially available programs for use on a personal digital assistant), (2) physical activity logs in which to record the frequency, duration, and intensity of exercise or step counters on which to monitor the daily steps

taken, and (3) weight scales on which to measure changes in body weight. Self-monitoring increases patients' awareness of their behaviors, generates records that can be reviewed by healthcare professionals, and provides targets for intervention.

In clinical practice, formal behavior therapy can be provided through group sessions or individual meetings with a healthcare professional who is skilled in the delivery of behavioral techniques used to modify lifestyle habits.^{155,157} If possible, contact should be regular, preferably once every 1 to 2 weeks, during the initial 6-month phase of a treatment program.¹⁵⁵ Comprehensive group behavior therapy, in conjunction with diet and physical activity, usually results in an $\approx 9\%$ body weight loss within 26 weeks of treatment (≈ 0.5 kg/week).¹⁵⁷ Patients usually regain $\approx 33\%$ of their lost weight in the year after ending behavior therapy, but most still maintain a weight loss of $\geq 5\%$ at the end of 1 year. Providing ongoing contact by scheduled visits, telephone calls, food evaluation and exercise diaries, and Internet communication can enhance long-term adherence and helps prevent weight regain.^{158,159} In addition, Internet-based treatment programs for weight loss^{160,161} and structured commercial programs such as Weight Watchers¹⁶² can augment the professional guidance provided by the physician.

Pharmacotherapy

Pharmacotherapy can help selected patients lose weight. The approved indications for drug therapy for obesity are a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² or a BMI between 27 and 29.9 kg/m² in conjunction with an obesity-related medical complication in patients with no contraindications for therapy. Effective pharmacotherapy for obesity is likely to require long-term, if not lifelong, treatment because patients who respond to drug therapy usually regain weight when the therapy is stopped. The expected length of drug treatment of obese patients who respond to therapy makes it important to carefully consider the long-term risks of being obese, the beneficial effects of pharmacotherapy on body weight and obesity-associated diseases, and the side effects and costs of treatment before beginning therapy. In addition, pharmacotherapy alone is not as effective as pharmacotherapy given in conjunction with a comprehensive weight-management program.¹⁶³ Therefore, patients given drug treatment without the other standard approaches to weight management, including behavior modification, diet education, and activity counseling, are exposed to all of the risks of drug treatment without all of the medical benefits.

Drug therapy adds a level of complexity to the treatment of obesity. The patient with medication prescribed for obesity may have comorbidities that already require pharmacotherapy, thereby increasing the likelihood of nonadherence.¹⁶⁴ Strategies to enhance medication compliance include regularly assessing adherence and response to therapy, counseling about and reinforcing the importance of adherence, simplifying the treatment regimen, assisting the patient in reducing barriers to adherence, providing reminders and cues to facilitate improved adherence, and enlisting support when needed.^{159,164–166} In addition, weight loss drugs usually are not covered by health insurance or health care plans, so a

TABLE 4. Drugs Approved by FDA for Treating Obesity

Generic Name	DEA Schedule
Orlistat	None
Sibutramine hydrochloride	IV
Phentermine	IV
Diethylpropion hydrochloride	IV
Benzphetamine hydrochloride	III
Phendimetrazine tartrate	III

DEA indicates Drug Enforcement Agency.

considerable economic incentive exists for the obese patient to discontinue taking these medications.

Medications for the treatment of obesity available in the United States are listed in Table 4. Effective therapy for obesity usually requires chronic intervention; however, only 2 drugs, sibutramine and orlistat, are approved for long-term use.

Sibutramine

Pharmacology

Sibutramine is a β -phenethylamine derivative that blocks the reuptake of norepinephrine, sibutramine, and, to a lesser degree, dopamine. Sibutramine decreases food intake by producing early satiety during feeding and by delaying initiation of the next meal. Although sibutramine has no potential for abuse, it is classified as a Schedule IV drug. Sibutramine is available in 5-, 10-, and 15-mg doses; 10 mg/d as a single daily dose is the recommended starting level, with titration up or down based on response. Doses >15 mg/d are not recommended.

Clinical Efficacy

In a 1-year RCT, subjects treated with sibutramine lost 7% of their initial body weight and those treated with placebo lost 2%. Of the subjects treated with sibutramine or placebo, 57% and 20%, respectively, lost $\geq 5\%$ of their initial body weight; 34% and 7%, respectively, lost $\geq 10\%$ of their initial body weight.¹⁶⁷ Weight loss with intermittent sibutramine therapy (15 mg/d given during weeks 1 through 12, 19 through 30, and 37 through 48, and placebo given during the two 6-week periods when sibutramine was withdrawn) was equivalent to weight loss with continuous sibutramine therapy (15 mg/d).¹⁶⁸ Sibutramine therapy also has been shown to maintain weight loss for 12 to 18 months in subjects who initially lost weight by eating a VLCD¹⁶³ or who successfully lost weight after 6 months of sibutramine treatment.¹⁷⁰ The use of sibutramine in obese patients with either medication-controlled hypertension¹⁷¹ or type 2 diabetes mellitus¹⁷² causes greater weight loss than with placebo therapy, but the overall weight loss is less than that observed in studies conducted in subjects who do not have comorbid disease.

Weight loss with sibutramine therapy is more effective when combined with behavior and dietary therapies. In a 1-year RCT, weight loss with sibutramine therapy alone was ≈ 5 kg, with sibutramine therapy plus behavior modification was ≈ 10 kg, and with sibutramine therapy plus behavior modification and a structured meal plan was ≈ 15 kg.¹⁷³

Side Effects and Safety

The most common side effects of sibutramine are dry mouth, constipation, and insomnia. Sibutramine increases

heart rate (a dose of 10 to 15 mg/d causes an increase in heart rate of 4 to 6 bpm) usually in the first few weeks of treatment and lasts as long as the drug is taken. Sibutramine also causes a dose-related increase in blood pressure (a dose of 10 to 15 mg/d causes an average increase in systolic and diastolic blood pressure of 2 to 4 mm Hg) and can prevent weight loss–induced decrease in blood pressure.¹⁵⁵ Therefore, careful monitoring is needed when combining sibutramine with other drugs that can increase blood pressure. Sibutramine should not be used in patients who have uncontrolled hypertension, a history of coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, cardiac arrhythmias, or stroke, or who are being treated with monoamine oxidase inhibitors or selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.

CVD Risk Factors

The composite data from RCTs demonstrate that sibutramine causes improvements in serum triglyceride, total cholesterol, LDL-C, and HDL-C concentrations that are directly related to the magnitude of the weight loss. However, sibutramine therapy decreases or eliminates weight loss–induced benefits on blood pressure.

Orlistat

Pharmacology

Orlistat blocks the digestion and absorption of dietary fat by binding to intestinal lipases.¹⁷⁴ The percentage of fat that is malabsorbed is related to drug dose in a curvilinear fashion.¹⁷⁵ Near-maximal fat malabsorption occurs at a dose of 120 mg when given with a meal, which causes malabsorption of $\approx 30\%$ of fat ingested from a meal that contains $\approx 30\%$ of energy as fat. Less than 1% of ingested orlistat is absorbed; therefore, it has no effect on systemic lipases.¹⁷⁶

Clinical Efficacy

The effects of orlistat on body weight and CHD risk factors have been evaluated in a large number of RCTs. The data from most studies demonstrate that at 1 year, subjects who were randomized to orlistat therapy (120 mg tid) lost $\approx 8\%$ to 10% of their initial body weight and those randomized to placebo therapy lost $\approx 4\%$ to 6%.^{177–181} Approximately 33% more patients treated with orlistat lost $\geq 5\%$ of their body weight than did those treated with placebo; ≈ 2 times as many patients treated with orlistat lost $\geq 10\%$ of their body weight as did those treated with placebo. Ending orlistat therapy results in weight regain,^{177,180} and starting orlistat therapy after successful diet-induced weight loss helps maintain body weight.¹⁸² In subjects with obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus who are treated with sulfonylureas,¹⁸³ metformin,¹⁸⁴ or insulin,¹⁸⁵ the percentage who achieve a $\geq 5\%$ or $\geq 10\%$ reduction in body weight is 2 to 3 times higher in those receiving orlistat plus dietary therapy than it is in those receiving dietary therapy alone. The overall weight loss effect of orlistat therapy in patients with diabetes is less than that reported in previous studies of obese patients who did not have diabetes, however.

Recently, the results of a 4-year RCT were reported.²⁸ The lowest body weight was achieved during the first year and was greater in the orlistat-treated group (11% weight loss) than in the placebo-treated group (6% weight loss). Subjects regained weight during the remainder of the trial; orlistat-treated subjects had lost 6.9% of their initial body weight and placebo-treated subjects had lost 4.1% at the end of 4 years. Orlistat therapy also decreased the cumulative 4-year incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus by 37%.

Side Effects and Safety

About 70% to 80% of subjects treated with orlistat experienced ≥ 1 gastrointestinal event as compared with $\approx 50\%$ to 60% of those treated with placebo. Gastrointestinal events usually occurred early (within the first 4 weeks), were of mild or moderate intensity, were usually limited to 1 or 2 episodes, and resolved despite continued orlistat treatment. Approximately 4% of subjects treated with orlistat and 1% of subjects treated with placebo withdrew from the studies because of gastrointestinal complaints. During treatment, small decreases in plasma fat-soluble vitamins, particularly vitamins A, D, and E, can occur, although plasma concentrations almost always remain within the reference range. A few patients, however, may experience decreases in plasma vitamin concentrations to below the reference range. Because it is impossible to determine a priori which patients will need vitamin supplements, it is recommended that all patients who are treated with orlistat be given a daily multivitamin supplement that is taken at a time when orlistat is not being ingested.

Orlistat can have medically significant effects on the absorption of lipophilic medications if both drugs are taken simultaneously. Subtherapeutic plasma cyclosporin levels that occurred in organ transplant recipients after they began orlistat therapy for obesity have been reported.^{186–189} Therefore, orlistat should not be taken for ≥ 2 hours before or after the ingestion of lipophilic drugs, and plasma drug concentrations should be followed to ensure appropriate dosing. Orlistat does not affect the absorption of selected drugs with a narrow therapeutic index (warfarin, digoxin, phenytoin) and selected drugs that are likely to be taken concomitantly with orlistat (glyburide, oral contraceptives, furosemide, captopril, nifedipine, and atenolol).¹⁸⁹

CVD Risk Factors

Because of its weight loss effects, orlistat therapy improves all major cardiovascular disease risk factors such as blood pressure and insulin sensitivity. Moreover, data from several RCTs suggest that orlistat has a beneficial effect on serum cholesterol concentrations that is independent of weight loss alone. Subjects given orlistat had a greater reduction in serum LDL-C concentrations than those given placebo, even after adjusting for percentage of weight loss.^{178,179} The mechanism responsible for this additional lipid-lowering effect may be related to the effect of orlistat in blocking both dietary cholesterol and triglyceride absorption.¹⁹⁰ In contrast, orlistat is not as effective in lowering serum triglyceride concentrations, presumably because it increases the proportion of absorbed energy derived from carbohydrate, which tends to increase serum triglycerides.¹⁹¹

Phentermine

Phentermine is a β -phenethylamine derivative that stimulates the release of norepinephrine and dopamine from nerve terminals. Although phentermine is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for long-term use, it is the most commonly prescribed anorexiant medication in the United States,¹⁹² presumably because it is less expensive than sibutramine. Phentermine was approved by the FDA >30 years ago, when the criteria needed for approval were less rigorous than they are currently. Therefore, fewer studies have evaluated the efficacy and safety

of phentermine therapy than have evaluated sibutramine and orlistat. Only one long-term (36 weeks) RCT evaluated the effect of phentermine therapy on body weight.¹⁹³ In that study, obese women were randomized to dietary therapy and treatment with daily phentermine, daily phentermine every other month alternating with daily placebo every other month, or daily placebo. Of the 108 enrolled subjects, approximately two thirds completed the study; among those who completed the study, the groups that received either continuous or intermittent phentermine therapy lost $\approx 13\%$ of their initial weight as compared with a 5% weight loss in the placebo group.

Side Effects and Safety

The most common side effects of phentermine are dry mouth, insomnia, and constipation. Although all sympathomimetic agents can increase blood pressure and heart rate, these side effects are uncommon when weight loss is adequate.

Herbal Products

Several different dietary supplements and herbal preparations have been used to treat obesity, including chromium picolinate, garcinia cambogia as a source of hydroxycitrate, chitosan that is claimed to reduce fat absorption, phenylephrine from *Citrus aurantium* (bitter orange), and ma huang as a source of ephedra alkaloids with or without guarana as a source of caffeine. In general, few RCTs have evaluated the clinical efficacy of these agents, and most of the RCTs that have been done were of substandard quality^{194–196}; however, data from several RCTs demonstrated greater weight loss in subjects given herbal products that contain ephedra than in those given placebo.^{197,198} Nonetheless, the sale of ephedra in over-the-counter products was recently banned by the FDA because of concerns about serious adverse cardiovascular effects.

Bariatric Surgery

Bariatric surgery is the most effective therapy available for people who are extremely obese. The current indications for surgical therapy were established at a consensus conference held at the National Institutes of Health in 1991.¹⁹⁹ The panel recommended that bariatric surgery be considered for obese persons who have a BMI of 35.0 to 39.9 kg/m² plus ≥ 1 severe obesity-related medical complication such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, heart failure, or OSA and persons with a BMI ≥ 40 kg/m². At present, $\approx 109\,000$ bariatric surgery procedures are performed each year in the United States.

Five surgical procedures are most commonly used to treat obesity: (1) gastric bypass (Roux-en-Y anastomosis), (2) gastroplasty (gastric stapling, vertical banded gastroplasty, silastic ring gastroplasty), (3) gastric banding (LAP-BAND), (4) biliopancreatic diversion (partial biliopancreatic bypass), and (5) biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch (partial biliopancreatic bypass with duodenal switch). Gastric bypass accounts for $\approx 70\%$ of the bariatric operations performed in the United States. This procedure involves the construction of a small (≈ 20 mL)

TABLE 5. Effect of Different Bariatric Surgical Procedures on Long-Term (≥ 2 y) Body Weight

Procedure	Approximate Loss of Initial Weight, %	Approximate Loss of Excess Weight, %
Gastric banding	20–35	35–70
Gastroplasty	20–25	40–50
Gastric bypass	25–30	50–65
Biliopancreatic diversion \pm duodenal switch	35–40	70–80

proximal gastric pouch, which empties into a segment of jejunum that is anastomosed to the pouch as a Roux-en-Y limb. Gastroplasty involves the formation of a small pouch along the lesser curvature near the gastroesophageal junction, which empties into the rest of the stomach through a 1-cm outlet stoma. Gastric banding involves the placement of a band around the upper stomach. The band circumference size can be changed by percutaneously inflating or deflating a balloon in the band that is connected to a subcutaneous port and is commonly adjusted after surgery based on weight loss response and gastrointestinal symptoms. Biliopancreatic diversion involves the creation of a 200- to 500-mL proximal gastric pouch and transection of the small intestine 250 cm from the ileocecal valve; the distal end of the small intestine is anastomosed to the gastric pouch and the proximal limb anastomosed to the ileum 50 cm from the ileocecal valve. These anastomoses create a 200-cm “alimentary tract,” a variable length (300 to 500 cm) “biliary tract,” and a 50-cm “common tract” in which digestion and absorption of ingested food occur. The biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch procedure involves the removal of $\approx 60\%$ of the greater curvature of the stomach and transection of the proximal duodenum. The proximal portion of the duodenum is anastomosed end-to-end to the distal small intestine 250 cm proximal to the ileocecal valve. The distal end of the resected proximal intestine, which receives secreted pancreatic enzymes, is anastomosed to the ileum 100 cm proximal to the ileocecal valve. All bariatric surgical procedures have been performed as open and laparoscopic procedures.

The approximate weight loss reported after each procedure is shown in Table 5.¹¹⁴ It is difficult to determine the relative weight loss effectiveness of each procedure because only vertical banded gastroplasty and gastric bypass have been compared directly in RCTs.^{200–203} The data from these RCTs consistently revealed that weight loss was greater with the gastric bypass procedure than with vertical banded gastroplasty. Fewer studies have evaluated the long-term effects of gastric banding, biliopancreatic diversion, and biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch than gastric bypass or gastroplasty because the procedure has been more recently developed or has been performed less often.

The perioperative mortality rate within 30 days after open bariatric surgery is $\approx 1\%$ ^{23,200,204,205} but can vary depending on the experience of the surgeon.²⁰⁶ Approximately 75% of deaths are caused by anastomotic leaks and peritonitis and 25% by pulmonary embolism. Laparoscopic gastric bypass is associated with fewer wound complica-

TABLE 6. Weight Classification by BMI*

	Obesity Class	BMI, kg/m ²	Disease Risk
Underweight		<18.5	Increased
Normal		18.5–24.9	Normal
Overweight		25.0–29.9	Increased
Obesity	I	30.0–34.9	High
	II	35.0–39.9	Very high
Extreme Obesity	III	≥40.0	Extremely high

*Data from *Obes Res*.¹

Additional adiposity-related risk factors: waist circumference >40 (in men) and >35 (in women); weight gain of ≥5 kg since age 18–20 y.

tions, less postoperative pain, less blood loss, and shorter hospital stays and convalescence periods than does the open procedure²⁰⁷; however, late anastomotic strictures occur more frequently after the laparoscopic than after the open procedure.

Treatment Guidelines

The goal of weight loss therapy for patients with CVD is to reduce or eliminate CHD risk factors and improve cardiac function. Aggressive weight loss therapy could be harmful in selected patients, such as those who have had a recent myocardial infarction or stroke or who have unstable angina, and attempts at weight loss should be delayed until these patients are medically stable.

Clinical Evaluation

The physician's office should be an environment that is sensitive to the needs of obese patients. The waiting room should contain chairs without arms, large gowns and large blood pressure cuffs should be available, and a scale that can weigh patients who weigh >300 lb should be available and located in a private area. The initial assessment should include an appropriate history, physical examination, and laboratory tests.

History

In addition to a standard medical interview, a patient's history should include an assessment of (1) weight history (highest and lowest adult body weight, previous weight loss attempts, weight pattern, and potential triggers and social and environmental factors that contributed to weight gain), (2) dietary history, including an assessment of types

and timing of meals and snacks and an attempt to identify possible triggers that result in excessive energy intake, (3) physical activity and function (daily and exercise activities, physical limitations, effect of obesity on physical lifestyle), (4) obesity-related health risk (age of onset and duration of obesity, family history of obesity and obesity-related medical complications, current obesity-related disease), (5) possible psychiatric illnesses, such as binge eating disorder and depression, that may require therapy before a weight loss program is initiated, and (6) ability to lose weight (desire to lose weight, weight loss goals and expectations, limitations for achieving weight loss, including medications and illnesses, lifestyle and work patterns, financial resources, and special needs).

Physical Examination

The patient's BMI and waist circumference should be determined. BMI is generally correlated with percentage of body fat in a curvilinear fashion.²⁰⁸ Some people with an "obese" BMI, who have a normal amount of body fat and a large muscle mass, are not at increased risk for CHD, whereas people with a "normal" BMI, who have excessive body fat and small muscle mass, are at increased risk. Waist circumference, measured halfway between the last rib and the iliac crest, correlates with abdominal fat mass.⁵ Table 6 provides a classification of risk based on BMI. A waist circumference of ≥88 cm (35 in) for women and ≥102 cm (40 in) for men is associated with an increased risk of metabolic diseases and CHD.¹ Additional assessments should include measuring blood pressure with a large cuff and searching for physical signs of right or left ventricular dysfunction, congestive heart failure, and pulmonary disease. An electronic stethoscope can increase a physician's ability to detect cardiac abnormalities in patients who are extremely obese.

Laboratory Tests

An ECG is needed to check for evidence of CHD and to obtain a baseline tracing for future comparisons. Standard blood tests should be performed to search for CHD risk factors, including prediabetes (impaired fasting blood glucose or impaired glucose tolerance), dyslipidemia (increased triglycerides, low HDL-C, and increased LDL-C), and the metabolic syndrome. Additional studies may be needed to further evaluate specific clinical suspicions based on the history and physical examination, such as

TABLE 7. Weight Loss Treatment Guidelines*

Treatment	BMI Category, kg/m ²				
	25.0–26.9	27.0–29.9	30.0–34.9	35.0–39.9	≥40.0
Diet, physical activity, behavior therapy, or all 3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pharmacotherapy†		With obesity-related disease	Yes	Yes	Yes
Surgery‡				With obesity-related disease	Yes

*Data from *Obes Res*.¹

†Pharmacotherapy should be considered only in patients who are not able to achieve adequate weight loss by available conventional lifestyle modifications and who have no absolute contraindications for drug therapy.

‡Bariatric surgery should be considered only in patients who are unable to lose weight with available conventional therapy and who have no absolute contraindications for surgery.

sleep studies to diagnose OHS or OSA and an exercise treadmill test or electron beam computerized tomography scanning or both to evaluate CHD risk. The comparative value of exercise tolerance testing and electron beam computerized tomography in obese subjects has not been determined. Exercise treadmill testing is not recommended for patients without cardiac symptoms, and neither exercise treadmill testing nor electron beam computerized tomography scanning should be performed in patients who are at low risk for CHD, based on clinical judgment or Framingham risk score.^{209–211}

Therapeutic Options

Appropriate management requires identifying patients who need treatment, developing a realistic treatment plan, and implementing a defined treatment strategy that can be modified as needed during long-term surveillance. *The Practical Guide to the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults* was developed by the North American Association for the Study of Obesity in conjunction with the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.²¹² Suggested guidelines from the guide for selecting among different weight loss treatment options, based on disease risk, are shown in Table 7. A typical clinical consultation involves a physician's giving advice without adequate consideration of the pa-

tient's priorities, motivation, or confidence in undertaking change.²¹³ In contrast, obesity therapy should involve "patient-centered counseling," which encourages patients to set goals and express their own ideas for therapy, with input from the healthcare professional. The treatment plan also must take into account the patient's readiness for therapy and the patient's ability to comply with the proposed treatment plan. Realistic goals should be established and frequent follow-up visits should be scheduled to monitor progress, modify the treatment plan as needed, and provide encouragement. Effective therapy requires a long-term structured approach with continued support from the physician and other caregivers, particularly during periods of patient recidivism and weight regain.

Reducing energy intake is the cornerstone of weight management therapy. Providing appropriate nutrition counseling and the behavior modification therapy needed to implement dietary changes within the setting of a busy outpatient practice is difficult if not impossible for most physicians because they do not have the time or expertise to provide this kind of care. Therefore, referral to a reputable weight loss program or experienced dietitian should be considered, if these resources are available. Additional therapy with weight loss medications or bariatric surgery can be useful in properly selected patients.

Disclosure

Writing Group Member Name	Research Grant	Speakers Bureau/Honoraria	Stock Ownership	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Dr Samuel Klein	Transneuronix	Merck	None	Obesity and Diabetes Educational Council (Roche); Enteromedics	None
Dr Lora E. Burke	None	None	None	None	None
Dr George Bray	None	None	None	Takeda Pharmaceutical; Johnson&Johnson	None
Dr Steven N. Blair	Abbott Laboratories; Human Kinetics; McNeil Consumer & Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Inc; Masterfoods; WESTAT	Masterfoods; The Sugar Association, Inc		Life Fitness International; Jenny Craig; Bally Total Fitness Sports Medicine; Sherbrooke Capital; Miavita; International Life Sciences Institute Center for Health Promotion; Healthetech; Westport Realty; Ruder Finn	None
Dr David B. Allison	Alabama Agricultural Land Grant Alliance; Coca-Cola; General Mills; Gerber Foundation; International Life Sciences Institute; Janssen-Cilag; Johnson&Johnson; M&M Mars; Merck; National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Affective Disorders; NIH; NSF; Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals; Pfizer Central Research; Proctor & Gamble; SlimFast Foods Company	American Oil Chemists Society; Bristol Myers Squibb/Mead Johnson; Federation of American Societies of Experimental Biology; Health Learning Systems; Institute for the Future	None	Air Canada; Archer Daniels Midland; Coca-Cola; Cytodyne Technologies Inc; Entelos; FTC; Fertin Pharma A/S; FDA; Genome Explorations; Gibson, Dunn &Crutcher LLP; International Food Information Council; Kraft Foods; Ligand Pharmaceuticals; Lilly Research Labs; Lockheed Martin; Maynard, Cooper & Gale, LLP; McKenna & Duneo, LLP; Nutricia; NutriPharma; Parenti, Falk, Waas, Hernandez & Cortina; Paterson, MacDougall; Pinnacle; Rand Corporation; Research Testing Laboratories; Rexall; RW Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute; United Soybean Board; United States Postal Service; Veterans Administration; Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer.	Current Drugs Ltd; Elsevier; Marcell Decker Publishing
Dr Pi-Sunyer	Novartis; Merck; Johnson&Johnson	None	None	Sanofi Synthelabo; Transneuronix; McNeil Specialty Products; Roche; Lilly	None
Dr Yuling Hong	None	None	None	None	None
Dr Robert Eckel	Merck	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit.

References

1. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults—The Evidence Report. National Institutes of Health. *Obes Res.* 1998;6:51S–209S.
2. Sjostrom CD, Lissner L, Wedel H, Sjostrom L. Reduction in incidence of diabetes, hypertension and lipid disturbances after intentional weight loss induced by bariatric surgery: the SOS Intervention Study. *Obes Res.* 1999;7:477–484.
3. Alpert MA, Terry BE, Kelly DL. Effect of weight loss on cardiac chamber size, wall thickness and left ventricular function in morbid obesity. *Am J Cardiol.* 1985;55:783–786.
4. Salans LB, Cushman SW, Weismann RE. Studies of human adipose tissue. Adipose cell size and number in nonobese and obese patients. *J Clin Invest.* 1973;52:929–941.
5. Pouliot MC, Despres JP, Lemieux S, Moorjani S, Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Nadeau A, Lupin PJ. Waist circumference and abdominal sagittal diameter: best simple anthropometric indices of abdominal visceral adipose tissue accumulation and related cardiovascular risk in men and women. *Am J Cardiol.* 1994;73:460–468.
6. Krssak M, Falk Petersen K, Dresner A, DiPietro L, Vogel SM, Rothman DL, Roden M, Shulman GI. Intramyocellular lipid concentrations are correlated with insulin sensitivity in humans: a ¹H NMR spectroscopy study. *Diabetologia.* 1999;42:113–116.
7. Seppala-Lindroos A, Vehkavaara S, Hakkinen AM, Goto T, Westerbacka J, Sovijarvi A, Halavaara J, Yki-Jarvinen H. Fat accumulation in the liver is associated with defects in insulin suppression of glucose production and serum free fatty acids independent of obesity in normal men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2002;87:3023–3028.
8. Alpert MA. Obesity cardiomyopathy: pathophysiology and evolution of the clinical syndrome. *Am J Med Sci.* 2001;321:225–236.
9. Peterson LR, Waggoner AD, Schechtman KB, Meyer T, Gropler RJ, Barzilai B, Davila-Roman VG. Alterations in left ventricular structure and function in young healthy obese women: assessment by echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;43:1399–1404.
10. Ballor DL, Poehlman ET. Exercise-training enhances fat-free mass preservation during diet-induced weight loss: a meta-analytical finding. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 1994;18:35–40.
11. Garrow JS, Summerbell CD. Meta-analysis: effect of exercise, with or without dieting, on the body composition of overweight subjects. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 1995;49:1–10.
12. Knittle JL, Ginsberg-Fellner F. Effect of weight reduction on in vitro adipose tissue lipolysis and cellularity in obese adolescents and adults. *Diabetes.* 1972;21:754–761.
13. Naslund I, Hallgren P, Sjostrom L. Fat cell weight and number before and after gastric surgery for morbid obesity in women. *Int J Obes.* 1988;12:191–197.
14. Ross R, Rissanen J, Pedwell H, Clifford J, Shragge P. Influence of diet and exercise on skeletal muscle and visceral adipose tissue in men. *J Appl Physiol.* 1996;81:2445–2455.
15. Goodpaster BH, Theriault R, Watkins SC, et al. Intramuscular lipid content is increased in obesity and decreased by weight loss. *Metabolism.* 2000;49:467–472.
16. Tiikkainen M, Bergholm R, Vehkavaara S, Rissanen A, Hakkinen AM, Tamminen M, Teramo K, Yki-Jarvinen H. Effects of identical weight loss on body composition and features of insulin resistance in obese women with high and low liver fat content. *Diabetes.* 2003;52:701–707.
17. Goldstein DJ. Beneficial health effects of modest weight loss. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 1992;16:397–415.
18. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation.* 2002;106:3143–3421.
19. Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissen M, Taskinen MR, Groop L. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care.* 2001;24:683–689.
20. Alexander CM, Landsman PB, Teutsch SM, Haffner SM; Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III); National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP). NCEP-defined metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and prevalence of coronary heart disease among NHANES III participants age 50 years and older. *Diabetes.* 2003;52:1210–1214.
21. Lakka HM, Laaksonen DE, Lakka TA, Niskanen LK, Kumpusalo E, Tuomilehto J, Salonen JT. The metabolic syndrome and total and cardiovascular disease mortality in middle-aged men. *JAMA.* 2002;288:2709–2716.
22. Kelley DE, Wing R, Buonocore C, Sturis J, Polonsky K, Fitzsimmons M. Relative effects of calorie restriction and weight loss in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1993;77:1287–1293.
23. Wing RR, Koeske R, Epstein LH, Nowalk MP, Gooding W, Becker D. Long-term effects of modest weight loss in type II diabetic patients. *Arch Intern Med.* 1987;147:1749–1753.
24. Pories WJ, Swanson MS, MacDonald KG, Long SB, Morris PG, Brown BM, Barakat HA, deRamon RA, Israel G, Dolezal JM, et al. Who would have thought it? An operation proves to be the most effective therapy for adult-onset diabetes mellitus. *Ann Surg.* 1995;222:339–350.
25. Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinänen-Kiukkaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, et al. Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344:1343–1350.
26. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, Nathan DM; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346:393–403.
27. Sjostrom CD, Peltonen M, Wedel H, Sjostrom L. Differentiated long-term effects of intentional weight loss on diabetes and hypertension. *Hypertension.* 2000;36:20–25.
28. Torgerson JS, Hauptman J, Boldrin MN, Sjostrom L. XENical in the prevention of diabetes in obese subjects (XENDOS) study: a randomized study of orlistat as an adjunct to lifestyle changes for the prevention of type 2 diabetes in obese patients. *Diabetes Care.* 2004;27:155–161.
29. Dattilo AM, Kris-Etherton PM. Effects of weight reduction on blood lipids and lipoproteins: a meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1992;56:320–328.
30. Eckel RH, Yost TJ. HDL subfractions and adipose tissue metabolism in the reduced-obese state. *Am J Physiol.* 1989;256:E740–E746.
31. Wadden TA, Anderson DA, Foster GD. Two-year changes in lipids and lipoproteins associated with the maintenance of a 5% to 10% reduction in initial weight: some findings and some questions. *Obes Res.* 1999;7:170–178.
32. Rossner S, Bjorvell H. Early and late effects of weight loss on lipoprotein metabolism in severe obesity. *Atherosclerosis.* 1987;64:125–130.
33. Effects of weight loss and sodium reduction intervention on blood pressure and hypertension incidence in overweight people with high-normal blood pressure. The Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. The Trials of Hypertension Prevention Collaborative Research Group. *Arch Intern Med.* 1997;157:657–667.
34. Stevens VJ, Obarzanek E, Cook NR, Lee IM, Appel LJ, Smith West D, Milas NC, Mattfeldt-Beman M, Belden L, Bragg C, et al. Long-term weight loss and changes in blood pressure: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;134:1–11.
35. Foley EF, Benotti PN, Borlase BC, Hollingshead J, Blackburn GL. Impact of gastric restrictive surgery on hypertension in the morbidly obese. *Am J Surg.* 1992;163:294–297.
36. Carson JL, Ruddy ME, Duff AE, Holmes NJ, Cody RP, Brolin RE. The effect of gastric bypass surgery on hypertension in morbidly obese patients. *Arch Intern Med.* 1994;154:193–200.
37. Sjostrom CD, Peltonen M, Sjostrom L. Blood pressure and pulse pressure during long-term weight loss in the obese: the Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) Intervention Study. *Obes Res.* 2001;9:188–195.
38. Huang Z, Willett WC, Manson JE, Rosner B, Stampfer MJ, Speizer FE, Colditz GA. Body weight, weight change, and risk for hypertension in women. *Ann Intern Med.* 1998;128:81–88.
39. Orea-Tejeda A, Valencia-Flores M, Castillo-Martinez L, Rebollar-Gonzalez V, Gonzalez-Barranco J, Castano A, Asensio E, Dorantes-Garcia J, Sepulveda-Mendez J, Oseguera-Moguel J, et al. Abnormal SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging during periods of obstructive sleep apnea in morbid obese patients without known heart disease. *Rev Invest Clin.* 2003;55:18–25.
40. Blankfield RP, Hudgel DW, Tapolyai AA, Zyzanski SJ. Bilateral leg edema, obesity, pulmonary hypertension, and obstructive sleep apnea. *Arch Intern Med.* 2000;160:2357–2362.

41. Valencia-Flores M, Orea A, Castano VA, Resendiz M, Rosales M, Rebollar V, Santiago V, Gallegos J, Campos RM, Gonzalez J, et al. Prevalence of sleep apnea and electrocardiographic disturbances in morbidly obese patients. *Obes Res*. 2000;8:262–269.
42. Marrone O, Bonsignore MR. Pulmonary haemodynamics in obstructive sleep apnoea. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2002;6:175–193.
43. Liuzzo G, Biasucci LM, Gallimore JR, Grillo RL, Rebuzzi AG, Pepys MB, Maseri A. The prognostic value of C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A protein in severe unstable angina. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331:417–424.
44. Thompson G, Kienast J, Pyke SD, Haverkate F, van de Loo JC. Hemostatic factors and the risk of myocardial infarction or sudden death in patients with angina pectoris, European Concerted Action on Thrombosis and Disabilities Angina Pectoris Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;332:635–641.
45. Danesh J, Collins R, Appleby P, Peto R. Association of fibrinogen, C-reactive protein, albumin, or leukocyte count with coronary heart disease: meta-analyses of prospective studies. *JAMA*. 1998;279:1477–1482.
46. Mohamed-Ali V, Goodrick S, Rawesh A, Katz DR, Miles JM, Yudkin JS, Klein S, Coppack SW. Subcutaneous adipose tissue releases interleukin-6, but not tumor necrosis factor- α , in vivo. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1997;82:4196–4200.
47. Bastard JP, Jardel C, Bruckert E, Blondy P, Capeau J, Laville M, Vidal H, Hainque B. Elevated levels of interleukin 6 are reduced in serum and subcutaneous adipose tissue of obese women after weight loss. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2000;85:3338–3342.
48. Ziccardi P, Nappo F, Giugliano G, Esposito K, Marfella R, Cioffi M, D'Andrea F, Molinaro AM, Giugliano D. Reduction of inflammatory cytokine concentrations and improvement of endothelial functions in obese women after weight loss over one year. *Circulation*. 2002;105:804–809.
49. Esposito K, Pontillo A, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Grella E, Nicoletti G, Giugliano D. Weight loss reduces interleukin-18 levels in obese women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;87:3864–3866.
50. Kern PA, Saghizadeh M, Ong JM, Bosch RJ, Deem R, Simsolo RB. The expression of tumor necrosis factor in human adipose tissue. Regulation by obesity, weight loss, and relationship to lipoprotein lipase. *J Clin Invest*. 1995;95:2111–2119.
51. Heinrich PC, Castell JV, Andus T. Interleukin-6 and the acute phase response. *Biochem J*. 1990;265:621–636.
52. McLaughlin T, Abbasi F, Lamendola C, Liang L, Reaven G, Schaaf P, Reaven P. Differentiation between obesity and insulin resistance in the association with C-reactive protein. *Circulation*. 2002;106:2908–2912.
53. Hak AE, Stehouwer CD, Bots ML, Polderman KH, Schalkwijk CG, Westendorp IC, Hofman A, Witteman JC. Associations of C-reactive protein with measures of obesity, insulin resistance and subclinical atherosclerosis in healthy, middle-aged women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1999;19:1986–1991.
54. Yudkin JS, Stehouwer CD, Emeis JJ, Coppack SW. C-reactive protein in healthy subjects: associations with obesity, insulin resistance, and endothelial dysfunction: a potential role for cytokines originating from adipose tissue? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1999;19:972–978.
55. Lemieux I, Pascot A, Prud'homme D, Almeras N, Bogaty P, Nadeau A, Bergeron J, Despres JP. Elevated C-reactive protein: another component of the atherothrombotic profile of abdominal obesity. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2001;21:961–967.
56. Festa A, D'Agostino R Jr, Howard G, Mykkanen L, Tracy RP, Haffner SM. Chronic subclinical inflammation as part of the insulin resistance syndrome: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). *Circulation*. 2000;102:42–47.
57. Kuller LH, Tracy RP, Shaten J, Meilahn EN. Relation of C-reactive protein and coronary heart disease in the MRFIT nested case-control study. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1996;144:537–547.
58. Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, Tracy RP, Hennekens CH. Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;336:973–979.
59. Harris TB, Ferrucci L, Tracy RP, Corti MC, Wacholder S, Ettinger WH Jr, Heimovitz H, Cohen HJ, Wallace R. Associations of elevated interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein levels with mortality in the elderly. *Am J Med*. 1999;106:506–512.
60. Ridker PM. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein: potential adjunct for global risk assessment in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. 2001;103:1813–1818.
61. Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:836–843.
62. Heilbronn LK, Noakes M, Clifton PM. Energy restriction and weight loss on very low-fat diets reduce C-reactive protein concentrations in obese, healthy women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2001;21:968–970.
63. Tchernof A, Nolan A, Sites CK, Ades PA, Poehlman ET. Weight loss reduces C-reactive protein levels in obese postmenopausal women. *Circulation*. 2002;105:564–569.
64. Hanusch-Enserer U, Cauza E, Spak M, Dunky A, Rosen HR, Wolf H, Prager R, Eibl MM. Acute-phase response and immunological markers in morbid obese patients and patients following adjustable gastric banding. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2003;27:355–361.
65. Kopp HP, Kopp CW, Festa A, Krzyzanowska K, Kriwanek S, Minar E, Roka R, Scherthaner G. Impact of weight loss on inflammatory proteins and their association with the insulin resistance syndrome in morbidly obese patients. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2003;23:1042–1047.
66. Laimer M, Ebenbichler CF, Kaser S, Sandhofer A, Weiss H, Nehoda H, Aigner F, Patsch JR. Markers of chronic inflammation and obesity: a prospective study on the reversibility of this association in middle-aged women undergoing weight loss by surgical intervention. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2002;26:659–662.
67. Marfella R, Esposito K, Siniscalchi M, Cacciapuoti F, Giugliano F, Labriola D, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Misso L, Giugliano D. Effect of weight loss on cardiac synchronization and proinflammatory cytokines in premenopausal obese women. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:47–52.
68. Monzillo LU, Hamdy O, Horton ES, Ledbury S, Mullooly C, Jarema C, Porter S, Ovalle K, Moussa A, Mantzoros CS. Effect of lifestyle modification on adipokine levels in obese subjects with insulin resistance. *Obes Res*. 2003;11:1048–1054.
69. Bastard JP, Jardel C, Bruckert E, Vidal H, Hainque B. Variations in plasma soluble tumour necrosis factor receptors after diet-induced weight loss in obesity. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2000;2:323–325.
70. Hirsch J, Leibel RL, Mackintosh R, Aguirre A. Heart rate variability as a measure of autonomic function during weight change in humans. *Am J Physiol*. 1991;261:R1418–R1423.
71. Kannel WB, Kannel C, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Cupples LA. Heart rate and cardiovascular mortality: the Framingham Study. *Am Heart J*. 1987;113:1489–1494.
72. Seccareccia F, Pannozzo F, Dima F, Minoprio A, Menditto A, Lo Noce C, Giampaoli S; Malattie Cardiovascolari Aterosclerotiche Istituto Superiore di Sanita Project. Heart rate as a predictor of mortality: the MATISS project. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91:1258–1263.
73. Karason K, Molgaard H, Wikstrand J, Sjöström L. Heart rate variability in obesity and the effect of weight loss. *Am J Cardiol*. 1999;83:1242–1247.
74. Arone LJ, Mackintosh R, Rosenbaum M, Leibel RL, Hirsch J. Autonomic nervous system activity in weight gain and weight loss. *Am J Physiol*. 1995;269:R222–R225.
75. Poirier P, Hernandez TL, Weil KM, Shepard TJ, Eckel RH. Impact of diet-induced weight loss on the cardiac autonomic nervous system in severe obesity. *Obes Res*. 2003;11:1040–1046.
76. Laaksonen DE, Laitinen T, Schonberg J, Rissanen A, Niskanen LK. Weight loss and weight maintenance, ambulatory blood pressure and cardiac autonomic tone in obese persons with the metabolic syndrome. *J Hypertens*. 2003;21:371–378.
77. Rissanen P, Franssila-Kallunki A, Rissanen A. Cardiac parasympathetic activity is increased by weight loss in healthy obese women. *Obes Res*. 2001;9:637–643.
78. Alexander JK. Obesity and coronary heart disease. *Am J Med Sci*. 2001;321:215–224.
79. Yang D, Fontaine KR, Wang C, Allison DB. Weight loss causes increased mortality: cons. *Obes Rev*. 2003;4:9–16.
80. Fontaine KR, Allison DB. Does intentional weight loss affect mortality rate? *Eat Behav*. 2001;2:87–95.
81. Miller WC, Kocaja DM, Hamilton EJ. A meta-analysis of the past 25 years of weight loss research using diet, exercise or diet plus exercise intervention. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1997;21:941–947.
82. Torgerson JS, Sjöström L. The Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) study—rationale and results. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001;25:S2–S4.
83. Allison DB, Fontaine KR, Manson JE, Stevens J, VanItallie TB. Annual deaths attributable to obesity in the United States. *JAMA*. 1999;282:1530–1538.

84. Lee IM, Blair SN, Allison DB, Folsom AR, Harris TB, Manson JE, Wing RR. Epidemiologic data on the relationships of caloric intake, energy balance, and weight gain over the life span with longevity and morbidity. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2001;56:7-19.
85. Troiano RP, Frongillo EA Jr, Sobal J, Levitsky DA. The relationship between body weight and mortality: a quantitative analysis of combined information from existing studies. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1996;20:63-75.
86. Albert CM, Chae CU, Grodstein F, Rose LM, Rexrode KM, Ruskin JN, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE. Prospective study of sudden cardiac death among women in the United States. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2096-2101.
87. Stevens J, Cai J, Evenson KR, Thomas R. Fitness and fatness as predictors of mortality from all causes and from cardiovascular disease in men and women in the lipid research clinics study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;156:832-841.
88. Calle EE, Thun MJ, Petrelli JM, Rodriguez C, Heath CW Jr. Body-mass index and mortality in a prospective cohort of US adults. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:1097-1105.
89. Selmer R, Tverdal A. Body mass index and cardiovascular mortality at different levels of blood pressure: a prospective study of Norwegian men and women. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1995;49:265-270.
90. Must A, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Bajema CJ, Dietz WH. Long-term morbidity and mortality of overweight adolescents. A follow-up of the Harvard Growth Study of 1922 to 1935. *N Engl J Med*. 1992;327:1350-1355.
91. Pamuk ER, Williamson DF, Madans J, Serdula MK, Kleinman JC, Byers T. Weight loss and mortality in a national cohort of adults, 1971-1987. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992;136:686-697.
92. Pascual M, Pascual DA, Soria F, Vicente T, Hernandez AM, Tebar FJ, Valdes M. Effects of isolated obesity on systolic and diastolic left ventricular function. *Heart*. 2003;89:1152-1156.
93. Alpert MA, Lambert CR, Panayiotou H, Terry BE, Cohen MV, Massey CV, Hashimi MW, Mukerji V. Relation of duration of morbid obesity to left ventricular mass, systolic function, and diastolic filling, and effect of weight loss. *Am J Cardiol*. 1995;76:1194-1197.
94. Kasper EK, Hruban RH, Baughman KL. Cardiomyopathy of obesity: a clinicopathologic evaluation of 43 obese patients with heart failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 1992;70:921-924.
95. Ku CS, Lin SL, Wang DJ, Chang SK, Lee WJ. Left ventricular filling in young normotensive obese adults. *Am J Cardiol*. 1994;73:613-615.
96. Messerli FH. Cardiopathy of obesity—a not-so-Victorian disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1986;314:378-380.
97. Deleted in proof.
98. Contaldo F, Pasanisi F, Finelli C, de Simone G. Obesity, heart failure and sudden death. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2002;12:190-197.
99. Alpert MA, Hashimi MW. Obesity and the heart. *Am J Med Sci*. 1993;306:117-123.
100. Jornet A, Batalla J, Uson M, Mallol A, Reig J, Petit M. Lipomatous hypertrophy of the interatrial septum: Diagnosis by transesophageal echocardiography. *Echocardiography*. 1992;9:501-503.
101. Alaud-din A, Meterissian S, Lisbona R, MacLean LD, Forse RA. Assessment of cardiac function in patients who were morbidly obese. *Surgery*. 1990;108:809-820.
102. Karason K, Wallentin I, Larsson B, Sjöström L. Effects of obesity and weight loss on cardiac function and valvular performance. *Obes Res*. 1998;6:422-429.
103. MacMahon SW, Wilcken DE, Macdonald GJ. The effect of weight reduction on left ventricular mass. A randomized, controlled trial in young, overweight hypertensive patients. *N Engl J Med*. 1986;314:334-339.
104. Himeno E, Nishino K, Nakashima Y, Kuroiwa A, Ikeda M. Weight reduction regresses left ventricular mass regardless of blood pressure level in obese subjects. *Am Heart J*. 1996;131:313-319.
105. Wirth A, Kroger H. Improvement of left ventricular morphology and function in obese subjects following a diet and exercise program. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1995;19:61-66.
106. Hinderliter A, Sherwood A, Gullette EC, Babyak M, Waugh R, Georgiades A, Blumenthal JA. Reduction of left ventricular hypertrophy after exercise and weight loss in overweight patients with mild hypertension. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002;162:1333-1339.
107. Reid CM, Dart AM, Dewar EM, Jennings GL. Interactions between the effects of exercise and weight loss on risk factors, cardiovascular haemodynamics and left ventricular structure in overweight subjects. *J Hypertens*. 1994;12:291-301.
108. Archibald EH, Stallings VA, Pencharz PB, Duncan WJ, Williams C. Changes in intraventricular septal thickness, left ventricular wall thickness and left ventricular volume in obese adolescents on a high protein weight reducing diet. *Int J Obes*. 1989;13:265-269.
109. Mitchell BM, Gutin B, Kapuku G, Barbeau P, Humphries MC, Owens S, Vemulapalli S, Allison J. Left ventricular structure and function in obese adolescents: relations to cardiovascular fitness, percent body fat, and visceral adiposity, and effects of physical training. *Pediatrics*. 2002;109:E73-3.
110. Klein S, Fontana L, Young VL, Coggan AR, Kilo C, Patterson BW, Mohammed BS. Absence of an effect of liposuction on insulin action and risk factors for coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:2549-2557.
111. Rytting KR, Flaten H, Rossner S. Long-term effects of a very low calorie diet (Nutrilett) in obesity treatment. A prospective, randomized, comparison between VLCD and a hypocaloric diet+behavior modification and their combination. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1997;21:574-579.
112. Wadden TA, Stunkard AJ. Controlled trial of very-low-calorie diet, behavior therapy, and their combination in the treatment of obesity. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1986;54:482-488.
113. Wadden TA, Foster GD, Letizia KA. One-year behavioral treatment of obesity: comparison of moderate and severe caloric restriction and the effects of weight maintenance therapy. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1994;62:165-171.
114. Klein S, Wadden T, Sugerman HJ. AGA technical review on obesity. *Gastroenterology*. 2002;123:882-932.
115. Astrup A, Grunwald GK, Melanson EL, Saris WH, Hill JO. The role of low-fat diets in body weight control: a meta-analysis of ad libitum dietary intervention studies. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000;24:1545-1552.
116. Klem ML, Wing RR, McGuire MT, Seagle HM, Hill JO. A descriptive study of individuals successful at long-term maintenance of substantial weight loss. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1997;66:239-246.
117. Pirozzo S, Summerbell C, Cameron C, Glasziou P. Advice on low-fat diets for obesity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2002;2:CD003640.
118. Skov AR, Toubro S, Ronn B, Holm L, Astrup A. Randomized trial on protein vs carbohydrate in ad libitum fat reduced diet for the treatment of obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1999;23:528-536.
119. Brehm BJ, Seeley RJ, Daniels SR, D'Alessio DA. A randomized trial comparing a very low carbohydrate diet and a calorie-restricted low fat diet on body weight and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2003;88:1617-1623.
120. Samaha FF, Iqbal N, Seshadri P, Chicano KL, Daily DA, McGrory J, Williams T, Williams M, Gracely EJ, Stern L. A low-carbohydrate as compared with a low-fat diet in severe obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:2074-2081.
121. Foster GD, Wyatt H, Hill JO, McGuckin BG, Brill C, Mohammed BS, Szapary PO, Rader DJ, Edman JS, Klein S. A randomized trial of a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:2082-2090.
122. Stern L, Iqbal N, Seshadri P, Chicano KL, Daily DA, McGrory J, Williams M, Gracely EJ, Samaha FF. The effects of low-carbohydrate versus conventional weight loss diets in severely obese adults: one-year follow up of a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;140:778-785.
123. Yancy WS Jr, Olsen MK, Guyton JR, Bakst RP, Westman EC. A low-carbohydrate, ketogenic diet versus a low-fat diet to treat obesity and hyperlipidemia: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;140:769-777.
124. Sondike SB, Copperman N, Jacobson MS. Effects of a low-carbohydrate diet on weight loss and cardiovascular risk factor in overweight adolescents. *J Pediatr*. 2003;142:253-258.
125. Bonow RO, Eckel RH. Diet, obesity, and cardiovascular risk. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:2057-2058.
126. Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Taylor RH, Barker H, Fielden H, Baldwin JM, Bowling AC, Newman HC, Jenkins AL, Goff DV. Glycemic index of foods: a physiological basis for carbohydrate exchange. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1981;34:362-366.
127. Wolever TM, Nuttall FQ, Lee R, Wong GS, Josse RG, Csima A, Jenkins DJ. Prediction of the relative blood glucose response of mixed meals using the white bread glycemic index. *Diabetes Care*. 1985;8:418-428.
128. Ebbeling CB, Leidig MM, Sinclair KB, Hangen JP, Ludwig DS. A reduced-glycemic load diet in the treatment of adolescent obesity. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2003;157:773-779.
129. Rolls BJ, Bell EA. Dietary approaches to the treatment of obesity. *Med Clin North Am*. 2000;84:401-418.

130. Saris WH, Astrup A, Prentice AM, Zunft HJ, Formiguera X, Verboeket-van de Venne WP, Raben A, Poppitt SD, Seppelt B, Johnston S, et al. Randomized controlled trial of changes in dietary carbohydrate/fat ratio and simple vs complex carbohydrates on body weight and blood lipids: the CARMEN study. The Carbohydrate Ratio Management in European National diets. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000;24:1310–1318.
131. Rolls BJ, Morris EL, Roe LS. Portion size of food affects energy intake in normal-weight and overweight men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2002;76:1207–1213.
132. Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Thorson C, Burton LR, Raether C, Harvey J, Mullen M. Strengthening behavioral interventions for weight loss: a randomized trial of food provision and monetary incentives. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1993;61:1038–1045.
133. Ditschuneit HH, Flechtner-Mors M, Johnson TD, Adler G. Metabolic and weight-loss effects of long-term dietary intervention in obese subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;69:198–204.
134. Flechtner-Mors M, Ditschuneit HH, Johnson TD, Suchard MA, Adler G. Metabolic and weight loss effects of long-term dietary intervention in obese patients: four-year results. *Obes Res*. 2000;8:399–402.
135. Krauss RM, Eckel RH, Howard B, Appel LJ, Daniels SR, Deckelbaum RJ, Erdman JW Jr, Kris-Etherton P, Goldberg IJ, Kotchen TA, et al. AHA Dietary Guidelines: revision 2000: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Nutrition Committee of the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2284–2299.
136. Physical activity and cardiovascular health. NIH Consensus Development Panel on Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health. *JAMA*. 1996;276:241–246.
137. Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, Haskell WL, Macera CA, Bouchard C, Buchner D, Ettinger W, Heath GW, King AC, et al. Physical activity and public health: a recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine. *JAMA*. 1995;273:402–407.
138. *Physical Activity and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, Ga: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 1996. S/N 017-023-00196-5.
139. Blair SN, Brodney S. Effects of physical inactivity and obesity on morbidity and mortality: current evidence and research issues. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1999;31:S646–S662.
140. Church TS, Cheng YJ, Earnest CP, Barlow CE, Gibbons LW, Priest EL, Blair SN. Exercise capacity and body composition as predictors of mortality among men with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:83–88.
141. Lee CD, Jackson AS, Blair SN. US weight guidelines: is it also important to consider cardiorespiratory fitness? *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1998;22:S2–S7.
142. Lee CD, Blair SN, Jackson AS. Cardiorespiratory fitness, body composition, and all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in men. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;69:373–380.
143. Wei M, Kampert JB, Barlow CE, Nichaman MZ, Gibbons LW, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Blair SN. Relationship between low cardiorespiratory fitness and mortality in normal-weight, overweight, and obese men. *JAMA*. 1999;282:1547–1553.
144. Wing RR. Physical activity in the treatment of the adulthood overweight and obesity: current evidence and research issues. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1999;31:S547–S552.
145. Saris WH, Blair SN, van Baak MA, Eaton SB, Davies PS, Di Pietro L, Fogelholm M, Rissanen A, Schoeller D, Swinburn B, et al. How much physical activity is enough to prevent unhealthy weight gain? Outcome of the IASO 1st Stock Conference and consensus statement. *Obes Rev*. 2003;4:101–114.
146. Jakicic JM, Clark K, Coleman E, Donnelly JE, Foreyt J, Melanson E, Volek J, Volpe SL; American College of Sports Medicine. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Appropriate intervention strategies for weight loss and prevention of weight regain for adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2001;33:2145–2156.
147. Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Sherwood NE, Tate DF. Physical activity and weight loss: dose prescribing higher physical activity goals improve outcome? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;78:684–689.
148. Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, Garcia ME, Kohl HW III, Blair SN. Comparison of lifestyle and structured interventions to increase physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 1999;281:327–334.
149. Castro CM, King AC, Brassington GS. Telephone versus mail intervention for maintenance of physical activity in older adults. *Health Psychol*. 2001;20:438–444.
150. Jakicic JM, Wing RR, Butler BA, Robertson RJ. Prescribing exercise in multiple short bouts versus one continuous bout: effects on adherence, cardiorespiratory fitness, and weight loss in overweight women. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1995;19:893–901.
151. Jakicic JM, Winters C, Lang W, Wing RR. Effects of intermittent exercise and use of home exercise equipment on adherence, weight loss, and fitness in overweight women: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 1999;282:1554–1560.
152. Perri MG, Martin AD, Leermakers EA, Sears SF, Netelevitz M. Effects of group- versus home-based exercise in the treatment of obesity. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1997;65:278–285.
153. Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, Zemel BS, Verde TJ, Francowiak SC. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 1999;281:335–340.
154. King AC, Taylor CB, Haskell WL, Debusk RF. Strategies for increasing early adherence to and long-term maintenance of home-based exercise training in healthy middle-aged men and women. *Am J Cardiol*. 1988;61:628–632.
155. Foreyt JP, Poston WS II. The role of the behavioral counselor in obesity treatment. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1998;98:S27–S30.
156. Wing RR. Behavioral approaches to the treatment of obesity. In: Bray GA, Bouchard C, James WPT, eds. *Handbook of Obesity*. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker; 1998:855–877.
157. Wadden TA, Sarwer DB, Berkowitz RI. Behavioural treatment of the overweight patient. *Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1999;13:93–107.
158. Perri MG, Nezu AM, Patti ET, McCann KL. Effect of length of treatment on weight loss. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1989;57:450–452.
159. Perri MG, Shapiro RM, Ludwig WW, Twentyman CT, McAdoo WG. Maintenance strategies for the treatment of obesity: an evaluation of relapse prevention training and posttreatment contact by mail and telephone. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1984;52:404–413.
160. Tate DF, Wing RR, Winett RA. Using Internet technology to deliver a behavioral weight loss program. *JAMA*. 2001;285:1172–1177.
161. Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR. Effects of Internet behavioral counseling on weight loss in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:1833–1836.
162. Heshka S, Anderson JW, Atkinson RL, Greenway FL, Hill JO, Phinney SD, Kolotkin RL, Miller-Kovach K, Pi-Sunyer FX. Weight loss with self-help compared with a structured commercial program: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:1792–1798.
163. Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, Sarwer DB, Prus-Wisniewski R, Steinberg C. Benefits of lifestyle modification in the pharmacologic treatment of obesity: a randomized trial. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161:218–227.
164. Haynes RB. Improving patient adherence: state of the art, with a special focus on medication taking for cardiovascular disorders. In: Burke LE, Ockene IS, eds. *Compliance in Healthcare and Research*. Armonk, NY: Futura Publishing; 2001:3–21.
165. Simkin-Silverman L, Wing RR. Management of obesity in primary care. *Obes Res*. 1997;5:603–612.
166. Stephenson BJ, Rowe BH, Haynes RB, Macharia WM, Leon G. The rational clinical examination. Is this patient taking the treatment as prescribed? *JAMA*. 1993;269:2779–2781.
167. Smith IG, Goulder MA; On behalf of the Members of the Sibutramine Clinical Study 1047 Team. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of long-term treatment with sibutramine in mild to moderate obesity. *J Fam Pract*. 2001;50:505–512.
168. Wirth A, Krause J. Long-term weight loss with sibutramine: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2001;286:1331–1339.
169. Deleted in proof.
170. James WP, Astrup A, Finer N, Hilsted J, Kopelman P, Rossner S, Saris WH, Van Gaal LF. Effect of sibutramine on weight maintenance after weight loss: a randomised trial. STORM Study Group. Sibutramine Trial of Obesity Reduction and Maintenance. *Lancet*. 2000;356:2119–2125.
171. McMahon FG, Fujioka K, Singh BN, Mendel CM, Rowe E, Rolston K, Johnson F, Mooradian AD. Efficacy and safety of sibutramine in obese white and African American patients with hypertension: a 1-year, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160:2185–2191.
172. Fujioka K, Seaton TB, Rowe E, Jelinek CA, Raskin P, Lebovitz HE, Weinstein SP; Sibutramine/Diabetes Clinical Study Group. Weight loss with sibutramine improves glycaemic control and other metabolic param-

- ters in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2000;2:175–187.
173. Apfelbaum M, Vague P, Ziegler O, Hanotin C, Thomas F, Leutenegger E. Long-term maintenance of weight loss after a very-low-calorie diet: a randomized blinded trial of the efficacy and tolerability of sibutramine. *Am J Med*. 1999;106:179–184.
 174. Hadvary P, Lengsfeld H, Wolfer H. Inhibition of pancreatic lipase in vitro by the covalent inhibitor tetrahydropyridylstatin. *Biochem J*. 1988;256:357–361.
 175. Zhi J, Melia AT, Guercioli R, Chung J, Kinberg J, Hauptman JB, Patel IH. Retrospective population-based analysis of the dose-response (fecal fat excretion) relationship of orlistat in normal and obese volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1994;56:82–85.
 176. Zhi J, Melia AT, Funk C, Viger-Choungnet A, Hopfgartner G, Lausecker B, Wang K, Fulton JS, Gabriel L, Mulligan TE. Metabolic profiles of minimally absorbed orlistat in obese/overweight volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 1996;36:1006–1011.
 177. Rossner S, Sjostrom L, Noack R, Meinders AE, Nosedá G. Weight loss, weight maintenance, and improved cardiovascular risk factors after 2 years treatment with orlistat for obesity. European Orlistat Obesity Study Group. *Obes Res*. 2000;8:49–61.
 178. Sjostrom L, Rissanen A, Andersen T, Boldrin M, Golay A, Koppeschaar HP, Krempf M. Randomised placebo-controlled trial of orlistat for weight loss and prevention of weight regain in obese patients. European Multicentre Orlistat Study Group. *Lancet*. 1998;352:167–172.
 179. Davidson MH, Hauptman J, DiGirolamo M, Foreyt JP, Halsted CH, Heber D, Heimburger DC, Lucas CP, Robbins DC, Chung J, et al. Weight control and risk factor reduction in obese subjects treated for 2 years with orlistat: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1999;281:235–242.
 180. Finer N, James WP, Kopelman PG, Lean ME, Williams G. One-year treatment of obesity: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study of orlistat, a gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000;24:306–313.
 181. Hauptman J, Lucas C, Boldrin MN, Collins H, Segal KR. Orlistat in the long-term treatment of obesity in primary care settings. *Arch Fam Med*. 2000;9:160–167.
 182. Hill JO, Hauptman J, Anderson JW, Fujioka K, O'Neil PM, Smith DK, Zavoral JH, Aronne LJ. Orlistat, a lipase inhibitor, for weight maintenance after conventional dieting: a 1-y study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;69:1108–1116.
 183. Hollander PA, Elbein SC, Hirsch IB, Kelley D, McGill J, Taylor T, Weiss SR, Crockett SE, Kaplan RA, Comstock J, et al. Role of orlistat in the treatment of obese patients with type 2 diabetes. A 1-year randomized double-blind study. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21:1288–1294.
 184. Miles JM, Leiter L, Hollander P, Wadden T, Anderson JW, Doyle M, Foreyt J, Aronne L, Klein S. Effect of orlistat in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25:1123–1128.
 185. Kelley DE, Bray GA, Pi-Sunyer X, Klein S, Hill J, Miles J, Hollander P. Clinical efficacy of orlistat therapy in overweight and obese patients with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: a 1-year, randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25:1033–1041.
 186. Schnetzler B, Kondo-Oestreicher M, Vala D, Khatchatourian G, Faidutti B. Orlistat decreases the plasma level of cyclosporine and may be responsible for the development of acute rejection episodes. *Transplantation*. 2000;70:1540–1541.
 187. Colman E, Fossler M. Reduction in blood cyclosporine concentrations by orlistat. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:1141–1142.
 188. Le Beller C, Bezie Y, Chabatte C, Guillemain R, Amrein C, Billaud EM. Co-administration of orlistat and cyclosporine in a heart transplant recipient. *Transplantation*. 2000;70:1541–1542.
 189. Guercioli R. Mode of action of orlistat. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1997;21:S12–S23.
 190. Mittendorfer B, Ostlund RE Jr, Patterson BW, Klein S. Orlistat inhibits dietary cholesterol absorption. *Obes Res*. 2001;9:599–604.
 191. Hellerstein MK. Carbohydrate-induced hypertriglyceridemia: modifying factors and implications for cardiovascular risk. *Curr Opin Lipidol*. 2002;13:33–40.
 192. Stafford RS, Radley DC. National trends in antiobesity medication use. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163:1046–1050.
 193. Munro JF, MacCuish AC, Wilson EM, Duncan LJP. Comparison of continuous and intermittent anorectic therapy in obesity. *BMJ*. 1968;1:352–354.
 194. Pittler MH, Ernst E. Dietary supplements for body-weight reduction: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;79:529–536.
 195. Allison DB, Fontaine KR, Heshka S, Mentore JL, Heymsfield SB. Alternative treatments for weight loss: a critical review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2001;41:1–28, 39–40.
 196. Shekelle PG, Hardy ML, Morton SC, Maglione M, Mojica WA, Suttorp MJ, Rhodes SL, Jungvig L, Gagne J. Efficacy and safety of ephedra and ephedrine for weight loss and athletic performance: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2003;289:1537–1545.
 197. Boozer CN, Nasser JA, Heymsfield SB, Wang V, Chen G, Solomon JL. An herbal supplement containing Ma Huang-Guarana for weight loss: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001;25:316–324.
 198. Boozer CN, Daly PA, Homel P, Solomon JL, Blanchard D, Nasser JA, Strauss R, Meredith T. Herbal ephedra/caffeine for weight loss: a 6-month randomized safety and efficacy trial. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2002;26:593–604.
 199. NIH Conference. Gastrointestinal surgery for severe obesity: Consensus Development Conference Panel. *Ann Intern Med*. 1991;115:956–961.
 200. MacLean LD, Rhode BM, Sampalis J, Forse RA. Results of the surgical treatment of obesity. *Am J Surg*. 1993;165:155–162.
 201. Sugerman HJ, Starkey JV, Birkenhauer R. A randomized prospective trial of gastric bypass versus vertical banded gastroplasty for morbid obesity and their effects on sweets versus non-sweets eaters. *Ann Surg*. 1987;205:613–624.
 202. Hall JC, Watts JM, O'Brien PE, Dunstan RE, Walsh JF, Slavotinek AH, Elmslie RG. Gastric surgery for morbid obesity. The Adelaide Study. *Ann Surg*. 1990;211:419–427.
 203. Howard L, Malone M, Michalek A, Carter J, Alger S, Van Woert J. Gastric bypass and vertical banded gastroplasty—a prospective randomized comparison and 5-year follow-up. *Obes Surg*. 1995;5:55–60.
 204. Balsiger BM, Kennedy FP, Abu-Lebdeh HS, Collazo-Clavell M, Jensen MD, O'Brien T, Hensrud DD, Dinneen SF, Thompson GB, Que FG, et al. Prospective evaluation of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass as primary operation for medically complicated obesity. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2000;75:673–680.
 205. Podnos YD, Jimenez JC, Wilson SE, Stevens CM, Nguyen NT. Complications after laparoscopic gastric bypass: a review of 3464 cases. *Arch Surg*. 2003;138:957–961.
 206. Flum DR, Dellinger EP. Impact of gastric bypass operation on survival: a population-based analysis. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2004;199:543–551.
 207. Nguyen NT, Goldman C, Rosenquist CJ, Arango A, Cole CJ, Lee SJ, Wolfe BM. Laparoscopic versus open gastric bypass: a randomized study of outcomes, quality of life, and costs. *Ann Surg*. 2001;234:279–291.
 208. Gallagher D, Heymsfield SB, Heo M, Jebb SA, Murgatroyd PR, Sakamoto Y. Health percentage body fat ranges: an approach for developing guidelines based on body mass index. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2000;72:694–701.
 209. Risk assessment tool for estimating 10-year risk of developing hard CHD (myocardial infarction and coronary death). National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute web site. Available at: <http://hln.nhlbi.nih.gov/atp/iii/calculator.asp?usertype=prof>. Accessed September 17, 2004.
 210. O'Rourke RA, Brundage BH, Froelicher VF, Greenland P, Grundy SM, Hachamovitch R, Pohost GM, Shaw LJ, Weintraub WS, Winters WL Jr, et al. American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Expert Consensus document on electron-beam computed tomography for the diagnosis and prognosis of coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2000;102:126–140.
 211. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Bricker JT, Chaitman BR, Fletcher GF, Froelicher VF, Mark DB, McCallister BD, Mooss AN, O'Reilly MG, et al. ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for exercise testing: summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1997 Exercise Testing Guidelines). *Circulation*. 2002;106:1883–1892.
 212. National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, and North American Association for the Study of Obesity. *The Practical Guide: Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults*. Rockville, Md: National Institutes of Health; 2000. NIH publication 00–4084.
 213. Strecher VJ, Seijts GH, Kok GJ, Latham GP, Glasgow R, DeVellis B, Meertens RM, Bulger DW. Goal setting as a strategy for health behavior change. *Health Educ Q*. 1995;22:190–200.