

Detection of Chronic Kidney Disease in Patients With or at Increased Risk of Cardiovascular Disease

A Science Advisory From the American Heart Association Kidney and Cardiovascular Disease Council; the Councils on High Blood Pressure Research, Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and Epidemiology and Prevention; and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group

Developed in Collaboration With the National Kidney Foundation

Frank C. Brosius III, MD, FAHA, Chair; Thomas H. Hostetter, MD; Ellie Kelepouris, MD, FAHA; Mark M. Mitsnefes, MD; Sharon M. Moe, MD, FAHA; Michael A. Moore, MD; Subramaniam Pennathur, MD; Grace L. Smith, MPH; Peter W.F. Wilson, MD, FAHA

Abstract—Chronic kidney disease (CKD) occurs commonly in patients with cardiovascular disease. In addition, CKD is a risk factor for the development and progression of cardiovascular disease. In this advisory, we present recommendations for the detection of CKD in patients with cardiovascular disease. CKD can be reliably detected with the combined use of the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate and a sensitive test to detect microalbuminuria. All patients with cardiovascular disease should be screened for evidence of kidney disease with these two determinations. (*Circulation*. 2006;114:1083-1087.)

Key Words: AHA Scientific Statements ■ chronic kidney disease ■ albuminuria ■ risk factors

Recommendations

Class I

1. **The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation should be used to estimate glomerular filtration rate in adult patients with cardiovascular disease. Values <60 mL/min per 1.73 square meters body surface area should be regarded as abnormal. (Level of Evidence: B)**

Class IIa

1. **The albumin-to-creatinine ratio should be used to screen for the presence of kidney damage in adult patients with cardiovascular disease. Values >30 mg albumin per 1 g creatinine should be regarded as abnormal. (Level of Evidence: B)**
2. **All adult patients with cardiovascular disease should be screened for evidence of kidney disease with determinations of estimated glomerular filtration rate using the MDRD equation and albumin-to-creatinine ratio. (Level of Evidence: C)**

It is estimated that up to 11% of adults in the United States have chronic kidney disease (CKD),¹ and the prevalence of CKD is even higher among patients with cardiovascular disease.² Additionally, CKD is a major and serious risk factor for cardiovascular disease.² Death from cardiovascular disease is 10 to 30 times higher in dialysis patients than in the general population. Recent studies have confirmed that even early CKD constitutes a significant risk factor for cardiovascular events and death.^{3,4} In addition, CKD is a risk factor for recurrent cardiovascular events,⁵ and proper management of cardiovascular disease is different and more complex in patients with CKD.⁶ Finally, both the frequency of cardiovascular complications and the progression of CKD can be ameliorated in these patients by appropriate intervention. For all these reasons, healthcare providers should evaluate their patients for the presence of CKD as part of preventive care and treatment strategies.

The American Heart Association makes every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

This advisory was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on June 28, 2006. A single reprint is available by calling 800-242-8721 (US only) or writing the American Heart Association, Public Information, 7272 Greenville Ave, Dallas, TX 75231-4596. Ask for reprint No. 71-0372. To purchase additional reprints: Up to 999 copies, call 800-611-6083 (US only) or fax 413-665-2671; 1000 or more copies, call 410-528-4121, fax 410-528-4264, or e-mail kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com. To make photocopies for personal or educational use, call the Copyright Clearance Center, 978-750-8400.

Expert peer review of AHA Scientific Statements is conducted at the AHA National Center. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit <http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3023366>.

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at <http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=4431>. A link to the "Permission Request Form" appears on the right side of the page.

© 2006 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circulation is available at <http://www.circulationaha.org>

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.177321

CKD is defined as structural or functional abnormalities of the kidney that persist for at least 3 months and are manifested by either kidney damage (most frequently detected as persistent albuminuria; >30 mg albumin/g creatinine) or a decreased glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (<60 mL/min per 1.73 m²). Because techniques commonly used to estimate renal function often fail to detect patients with mild to moderate reduction in GFR and because screening for albuminuria is not consistently performed, CKD in many patients remains unidentified. Because a clinically useful method of accurately detecting CKD in patients is needed, this advisory will address currently available methods and make recommendations about the most appropriate screening tests for CKD.

The most accurate method to determine kidney function is a formal GFR measurement with iothalamate or similar markers. Such time-consuming tests are expensive, generally unsuitable for clinical practice, available in only a few centers, and certainly not cost-effective for CKD screening. Creatinine is a useful endogenous marker of renal filtration or GFR, but there are problems with the routine use of serum creatinine to estimate GFR. Inference of GFR from the serum creatinine level alone is complicated by the differing rates of creatinine production between persons, mainly because of variations in muscle mass. Women and the elderly often have deceptively low serum creatinine levels, despite substantial reductions in GFR. The inverse, nonlinear relation between serum creatinine level and GFR also clouds interpretation; healthcare providers often misinterpret small increases in creatinine as clinically insignificant. Currently, more suitable serum indicators of GFR are not available, although one possible exception to this is cystatin C.

Cystatin C is a serine protease inhibitor released at a relatively constant rate from all cells and is freely filtered by the glomerulus. Several studies have suggested that it may approximate GFR better than creatinine,⁷⁻⁹ and a recent report has found that cystatin C levels better predict the development of congestive heart failure in elderly patients than do serum creatinine values.¹⁰ However, cystatin C production may not remain completely constant, and factors other than kidney function may affect cystatin C levels, such as age, male sex, weight, height, cigarette smoking, serum C-reactive protein levels, steroid therapy, and rheumatoid arthritis.^{11,12} Therefore, it is not certain that cystatin C has sufficient specificity to serve as a reliable indicator of GFR.

Traditionally, calculation of creatinine clearance from timed urine collections has been used for estimation of GFR. However, these timed urine collections are cumbersome and fraught with error, largely as a result of inaccurate urine collection.

Given the lack of accuracy of these methods, a more suitable screening test for GFR is needed. Fortunately, several validated estimation equations for GFR that use easily obtained clinical data and laboratory test results are available. These methods allow healthcare providers to diagnose CKD with greater accuracy. This advisory will describe these methods and their applicability to patients with cardiovascular disease.

TABLE 1. MDRD Study Equations for Calculating GFR

MDRD 1	$GFR = 170 \times [SCr]^{-0.999} \times [Age]^{-0.176} \times [0.762 \text{ if patient is female}] \times [1.18 \text{ if patient is black}] \times [BUN]^{-0.170} \times [Alb]^{0.318}$
MDRD 2 (Abbreviated)	$GFR = 186 \times [SCr]^{-1.154} \times [Age]^{-0.203} \times [0.742 \text{ if patient is female}] \times [1.21 \text{ if patient is black}]$

SCr indicates serum creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; and Alb, serum albumin.

This formula can be downloaded to a PDA by visiting <http://www.kidney.org/professionals/kdoqi/cap.cfm>.

Over the past decade, equations that estimate GFR on the basis of serum creatinine concentration and other easily obtainable patient data have been developed and validated. The widely used Cockcroft-Gault method uses serum creatinine, gender, age, and ideal weight.¹³ However, weight measurements or estimations make calculation and reporting of Cockcroft-Gault results by laboratories problematic.

Other formulas have been validated that use data that are readily available to laboratories.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ The formula developed from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study^{14,15} is currently the best validated method to estimate GFR for adults in the typical office setting. There are 2 forms of this equation (Table 1). The original equation requires several laboratory tests in addition to the serum creatinine value, whereas the abbreviated MDRD study equation requires only demographic data plus the serum creatinine value. The abbreviated version appears to produce essentially similar results and is simpler.^{17,18} It is important to note that GFR values derived from either form of the MDRD study equation can be automatically generated and placed in laboratory reports of any patient, because the age and gender information needed to make the calculation is generally included in the database on each patient. Because race is often not included in such databases, automatic reporting will include a comment asking the practitioner to multiply the calculated GFR by 1.21 for black patients.

Online calculators for the MDRD GFR equation are available from the National Kidney Foundation at www.kidney.org and from the National Kidney Disease Education Program (NKDEP) of the National Institutes of Health.

TABLE 2. Screening for Chronic Kidney Disease^{18,22-26}

1. Measure serum creatinine and calculate estimated GFR by the MDRD study equation (see Table 1). If estimated GFR is <60 mL/min per 1.73 m², repeat in 3 months.*
2. Obtain a random ("spot") urine for albumin-to-creatinine ratio determination. If albumin-to-creatinine is >30 mg albumin/g creatinine, repeat in 3 months.*
 - If either test is positive and persists for 3 months, the patient should be considered to have CKD. Appropriate evaluation and treatment should be undertaken as recommended in clinical practice guidelines.²³⁻²⁶
 - If tests are both negative, they should be repeated annually.
 - If estimated GFR is <30 mL/min per 1.73 m² or rapidly decreasing, or if urinary albumin-to-creatinine is >300 mg albumin/g creatinine, the patient should be referred to a nephrologist.

*If clinically indicated, repeat test sooner than 3 months as well as at 3-month mark.

Health at www.nkdep.nih.gov. The National Kidney Foundation and the American Society of Nephrology have joined with the NKDEP to educate healthcare providers about the need to routinely screen for CKD. In addition, these groups are encouraging laboratories to report estimated GFR using the MDRD formula along with serum creatinine to improve early detection of CKD.¹⁹

Although both forms of the MDRD study equation have been validated by other research groups for patients with significant reduction in GFR, their accuracy is reduced in persons with normal or only slightly diminished renal function. As screening tools, they can overestimate the number of patients with CKD,^{17,18,20} and their accuracy in patients with cardiovascular disease has not been well substantiated. However, a study of patients with left ventricular dysfunction showed that a GFR <60 mL/min per 1.73 m², calculated by the MDRD study equation, remained an independent risk factor for death,²¹ which suggests that the predictive value of the test was high. On balance, therefore, the MDRD study equation appears to accurately detect CKD in heart failure patients, including those with GFRs <60 mL/min per 1.73 m², and is likely to be applicable to patients with other cardiovascular diseases.

Albuminuria (>30 mg urinary albumin excretion per 24 hours) is associated with an increased risk for cardiovascular disease.^{2,4} Spot urinary values >30 mg albumin/g creatinine are abnormal; repeated elevations of the urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio over several months confirm increased cardiovascular risk and suggest the presence of CKD (Table 2). It should be noted that increased albuminuria may also reflect the presence of generalized endothelial dysfunction.^{22,23} However, either condition is associated with increased cardiovascular risk. Therefore,

screening for albuminuria should be included in the assessment of all patients. Urine albumin excretion of <300 mg/g creatinine is not reliably detectable with routine dipstick methods, so screening is best accomplished by determining the albumin-to-creatinine ratio on a spot urine specimen. Patients should be tested only when in stable condition without other acute complications, such as exacerbations of congestive heart failure, volume overload, and urinary tract infections, because these and other acute alterations are associated with transient increases in albumin excretion.²⁴ Finally, the sensitivity of this test may be reduced in patients who are already on angiotensin receptor blockade or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor medications. Nonetheless, persistent albuminuria in that setting would likely reflect the presence of CKD. These urinary measurements have also been recommended as the standard of care by the National Kidney Foundation,^{25–27} the American Diabetes Association,²⁴ and the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure²⁸ to screen for kidney disease.

Combined screening for microalbuminuria and estimation of GFR with the MDRD study equation are recommended for all adult patients with cardiovascular disease, including those with coronary artery disease or congestive heart failure as well as those with risk factors for CKD such as diabetes and hypertension, which are also risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Repeat screening at 3 months should be performed if either test is positive. If either test remains positive over at least a 3-month period, the patient should be considered to have CKD, and appropriate evaluation as to the cause of the CKD and appropriate treatment should be undertaken as noted in several published guidelines.^{25–28}

Disclosures

Writing Group Disclosures

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Frank C. Brosius III	University of Michigan Hospital	None	None	None	None	None	None
Thomas H. Hostetter	University of Minnesota	None	None	None	None	None	None
Ellie Kelepouris	Temple University Hospital	None	None	None	None	National Kidney Foundation—Delaware Valley	None
Mark M. Mitsnefes	Cincinnati Children's Hospital	None	None	None	None	None	None
Sharon M. Moe	Indiana University School of Medicine	Amgen, Genzyme	None	Amgen, Genzyme	None	Amgen, Genzyme	None
Michael A. Moore	Wake Forest University School of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Subramaniam Pennathur	University of Washington	Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation	None	None	None	None	None
Grace L. Smith	Yale University School of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Peter W.F. Wilson	Medical University of South Carolina	Pfizer; GlaxoSmithKline; Wyeth	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit.

Reviewer Disclosures

Reviewer	Employment (Institution)	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
George Bakris	Rush University Medical Center	NIH; AstraZeneca; Abbott; Alteon; Boehringer-Ingelheim; GlaxoSmithKline; Merck; Novartis; Lilly; Sankyo	None	AstraZeneca; AusAm Abbott; Alteon; Biovail; Boehringer-Ingelheim; BMS/Sanofi; GlaxoSmithKline; Merck; Novartis; Lilly	None	None	None
Andrew S. Levey	Tufts–New England Medical Center	NIH; National Kidney Foundation; Amgen	None	None	None	None	<i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> Editorial Board
Adeera Levin	University of British Columbia	None	None	None	None	National Kidney Foundation	None
Stuart Linas	University of Colorado/Denver Health Medical System	None	None	AstraZeneca; Merck; Pfizer; Novartis; GlaxoSmithKline	None	ASN; National Kidney Foundation	None
William E. Mitch	Baylor College of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mark Sarnak	Tufts–New England Medical Center	Amgen	None	None	None	None	None
Judith M. Veis	Washington Medical Center, MedStar Health	None	None	None	None	National Kidney Foundation	None

This table represents the relationships of reviewers that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all reviewers are required to complete and submit.

References

- Coresh J, Astor BC, Greene T, Eknoyan G, Levey AS. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and decreased kidney function in the adult US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2003;41:1–12.
- Sarnak MJ, Levey AS, Schoolwerth AC, Coresh J, Culeton B, Hamm LL, McCullough PA, Kasiske BL, Kelepouris E, Klag MJ, Parfrey P, Pfeffer M, Raij L, Spinosa DJ, Wilson PW; American Heart Association Councils on Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, High Blood Pressure Research, Clinical Cardiology, and Epidemiology and Prevention. Kidney disease as a risk factor for development of cardiovascular disease: a statement from the American Heart Association Councils on Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, High Blood Pressure Research, Clinical Cardiology, and Epidemiology and Prevention. *Circulation.* 2003;108:2154–2169.
- Anavekar NS, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, Solomon SD, Kober L, Rouleau JL, White HD, Nordlander R, Maggioni A, Dickstein K, Zelenkofske S, Leimberger JD, Califf RM, Pfeffer MA. Relation between renal dysfunction and cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction [published comments in *ACP J Club.* 2005;142:51, *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:1344–1346, and *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:199–200; author reply 199–200]. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:1285–1295.
- Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu CY. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization [published comments in *ACP J Club.* 2005;142:50–51, *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:1344–1346, and *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:199–200, author reply 199–200]. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:1296–1305.
- Weiner DE, Tighiouart H, Stark PC, Amin MG, MacLeod B, Griffith JL, Salem DN, Levey AS, Sarnak MJ. Kidney disease as a risk factor for recurrent cardiovascular disease and mortality. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2004;44:198–206.
- Gupta R, Birnbaum Y, Uretsky BF. The renal patient with coronary artery disease: current concepts and dilemmas. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:1343–1353.
- Coll E, Botey A, Alvarez L, Poch E, Quinto L, Saurina A, Vera M, Pira C, Darnell A. Serum cystatin C as a new marker for noninvasive estimation of glomerular filtration rate and as a marker for early renal impairment [published comments in *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2000;36:205–207, *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2001;37:448–451]. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2000;36:29–34.
- Fliser D, Ritz E. Serum cystatin C concentration as a marker of renal dysfunction in the elderly. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2001;37:79–83.
- Hoek FJ, Kemperman FAW, Krediet RT. A comparison between cystatin C, plasma creatinine and the Cockcroft and Gault formula for the estimation of glomerular filtration rate. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2003;18:2024–2031.
- Sarnak MJ, Katz R, Stehman-Breen CO, Fried LF, Jenny NS, Psaty BM, Newman AB, Siscovick D, Shlipak MG; Cardiovascular Health Study. Cystatin C concentration as a risk factor for heart failure in older adults. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;142:497–505.
- Knight EL, Verhave JC, Spiegelman D, Hillege HL, de Zeeuw D, Curhan GC, de Jong PE. Factors influencing serum cystatin C levels other than renal function and the impact on renal function measurement [published comment in *Kidney Int.* 2005;67:777–778; author reply 778–779]. *Kidney Int.* 2004;65:1416–1421.
- Levin A. Cystatin C, serum creatinine, and estimates of kidney function: searching for better measures of kidney function and cardiovascular risk [published comment in *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;142:497–505]. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;142:586–588.
- Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. *Nephron.* 1976;16:31–41.
- Klahr S, Levey AS, Beck GJ, Caggiula AW, Hunsicker L, Kusek JW, Striker G. Klahr S, Levey AS, Beck GJ, Caggiula AW, Hunsicker L, Kusek JW, Striker G. The effects of dietary protein restriction and blood-pressure control on the progression of chronic renal disease. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group [published comments in *ACP J Club.* 1994;121(suppl 2):46, *N Engl J Med.* 1994;331:405; author reply 405–406, *N Engl J Med.* 1994;330:929–930]. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;330:877–884.
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group [published comments in *Ann Intern Med.* 1999;131:629–630; author reply 630, *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;140:934; author reply 934–935]. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999;130:461–470.
- Smith GL, Shlipak MG, Havranek EP, Foody JM, Masoudi FA, Rathore SS, Krumholz HM. Serum urea nitrogen, creatinine, and estimators of renal function mortality in older patients with cardiovascular disease. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166:1134–1142.
- Levey AS, Greene T, Kusek JW, Beck GJ, MDRD Study Group. A simplified equation to predict glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine [abstract]. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2000;11:155A.
- Lin J, Knight EL, Hogan ML, Singh AK. A comparison of prediction equations for estimating glomerular filtration rate in adults without kidney disease [published correction in *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005;16:2814]. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2003;14:2573–2580.

19. Hostetter TH, Lising M. National kidney disease education program. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2003;14:S114–S116.
20. Rule AD, Larson TS, Bergstralh EJ, Slezak JM, Jacobsen SJ, Cosio FG. Using serum creatinine to estimate glomerular filtration rate: accuracy in good health and in chronic kidney disease [published comments in *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;141:959–961, *Ann Intern Med*. 2005;142:679–680; author reply 681]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;141:929–937.
21. Al-Ahmad A, Rand WM, Manjunath G, Konstam MA, Salem DN, Levey AS, Sarnak MJ. Reduced kidney function and anemia as risk factors for mortality in patients with left ventricular dysfunction [published comment in *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39:1703–1704; author reply 1704–1705]. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001;38:955–962.
22. Deckert T, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Borch-Johnsen K, Jensen T, Kofoed-Enevoldsen A. Albuminuria reflects widespread vascular damage: the Steno hypothesis [published comment in *Diabetologia*. 1989;32:766–767]. *Diabetologia*. 1989;32:219–226.
23. Clausen P, Jensen JS, Jensen G, Borch-Johnsen K, Feldt-Rasmussen B. Elevated urinary albumin excretion is associated with impaired arterial dilatory capacity in clinically healthy subjects. *Circulation*. 2001;103:1869–1874.
24. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes [published correction in *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:990]. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:S4–S36.
25. Levey AS, Coresh J, Balk E, Kausz AT, Levin A, Steffes MW, Hogg RJ, Perrone RD, Lau J, Eknoyan G; National Kidney Foundation. National Kidney Foundation practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification [published correction in *Ann Intern Med*. 2003;139:605. Published comments in *Ann Intern Med*. 2003;139:136, *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;140:933–934; author reply 934–935]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2003;139:137–147.
26. National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification and stratification. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2002;39(2 suppl 1):S1–S266.
27. Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI). K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines on hypertension and antihypertensive agents in chronic kidney disease. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2004;43(suppl 1):S1–S290.
28. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT Jr, Roccella EJ; Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure [published comments in *Hypertension*. 2004;43:327; author reply e27; *Hypertension*. 2004;43:1–3; *Hypertension*. 2004;43:e31; author reply e31]. *Hypertension*. 2003;42:1206–1252.